



Programme Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	University of Salford
Programmes reviewed: <i>This is the NMC programme</i> <i>title(s)</i>	Pre-registration nurse qualification leading to Registered Nurse – Adult Image: Second constraints Registered Nurse – Children's Image: Second constraints Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities Image: Second constraints Registered Nurse - Mental Health Image: Second constraints
	Nursing Degree Apprenticeship (NDA) routeNDA AdultNDA Children'sNDA Learning DisabilitiesNDA Mental Health
	Dual award - pre-registration nursing Dual award - adult/mental health Dual award - adult/children's Dual award - adult/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/children's Dual award - learning disabilities/children's
AEI programme title(s):	
Current AEI programme title(s):	BSc (Hons) Nursing (adult) -BSc (Hons)
Please include all currently approved programme titles	BSc (Hons) Nursing (adult) Nurse Degree Apprentice – BSc (Hons)
	MA Nursing (adult) - MA
	BSc (Hons) Nursing (Children & Young Persons) – BSc (Hons)
	MA Nursing (Children and Young Persons) - MA
	BSc (Hons) Integrated Practice Learning Disabilities Nursing & Social Work – BSc (Hons)
	BSc (Hons) Nursing (Mental Health) – BSc (Hons)
	MA Nursing (Mental Health) - MA

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A	N/A
Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A	N/A
Academic levels of current	programme:
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11 N/A
Registered Nurse – Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 I Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11 N/A
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 🛛 Level 6 🗌 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 🔲 Level 9 🗌 Level 10 🗌 Level 11
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.			M MOTT MACDONALD
	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🗌 N/A			
	England, Wal	les, Northern I	reland	
NDA Adult	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🗌 N/A			
	England, Wal	les, Northern I	reland	
NDA Children's	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	N/A			
		les, Northern I		
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			
		les, Northern I		
NDA Mental Health	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	N/A			
	England, Wal	les, Northern I	reland	
Dual award - adult/mental health	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M MOTT MACDONALD
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF	Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	SCQF	Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	SCQF	Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - mental health/children's	SCQF	Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	SCQF	Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
Academic levels of modified	d/additional programme(s)/route(s):	
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	

Nursing & Midwifery Council	assurance of r	care through qu nursing, midwife sociate educatio	ry and	M MOTT MACDONALD
	Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	□ N/A			
	England, Wal	es, Northern I	reland	
Registered Nurse – Children's	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			
	England, Wal	es, Northern I	reland	
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			
	England, Wal	es, Northern I	reland Level 7	
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			
	England, Wal	es, Northern I		
NDA Adult	SCQF Level 8 N/A	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	England, Wal	es, Northern I		
NDA Children's	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			
		es, Northern II		
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖾 N/A			

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M MOTT MACDONALD
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
NDA Mental Health	SCQF) 🗌 Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - adult/mental health	SCQF) 🗌 Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF)
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	SCQF) 🗌 Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	SCQF) 🗌 Level 11
	⊠ N/A	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	
Dual award - mental health/children's	SCQF)
	⊠ N/A	
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland	

Nursing & Midwifery Council	assurance of nursing a	r care through qu nursing, midwife ssociate educatio	ery and	M MOTT MACDONALD
	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	🖂 N/A			
Programme approval dates	:			
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards. Date(s) of NMC approval	22 August 2	2019		
of any modifications since last approval:				
Programme start dates:	L			
Current modification programme start date:				
RN – Adult	12 Septemb	oer 2022		
RN – Children's				
RN - Learning Disabilities				
RN - Mental Health				
NDA Adult				
NDA Children's				
NDA Learning Disabilities				
NDA Mental Health				
Dual award - Adult/Mental Health				
Dual award - Adult/Children's				
Dual award - Adult/Learning Disabilities				

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.
Dual award – Mental Health/Learning Disabilities	
Dual award – Mental Health/Children's	
Dual award – Learning Disabilities/Children's	
Date of modification:	26 May 2022
Type of modification:	Visit
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Elizabeth Ann Mason





Summary of review and findings

The University of Salford (UoS), school of health and society (the school) present programme documentation for a major modification to the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) adult field of the pre-registration nursing programme. The major modification is to seek approval to deliver year one of the Bachelor of Science with Honours (BSc (Hons)) nursing (adult) programme for 30 students at a new satellite site in the purpose-built health and digital centre in partnership with Bury College (BC). Students will complete years two and three of the programme at the main UoS campus.

Partnership working remains effective at strategic and operational levels across the approved pre-registration programme. Practice learning partners (PLPs) confirm support for the development of adult nursing provision at BC. There's evidence of robust partnership working with UoS, BC, Health Education England and PLPs to develop both BC as a new satellite site and to deliver the approved adult nursing field at BC. A significant financial investment has been made by BC and UoS to build a bespoke learning facility for nursing, health and social care and allied health programmes. This is in addition to the enterprise centre at the existing higher education teaching facility at BC. The development of the health and digital centre provides the opportunity for the provision of courses for allied health professions including dentistry and pre-registration nursing.

30 students from the September 2022 adult nursing cohort will be selected at interview to study at BC. The senior school team tell us that the number of students studying at BC would increase in future years based on evidence from quality assurance processes and future consultation with students, service users and carers (SUCs) and PLPs. Students studying at BC are from the total number of commissioned adult nursing students studying with UoS. PLPs confirm that this won't impact on practice placement learning capacity.

Documentary evidence demonstrates that strategic and operational meetings are embedded in governance strategies to monitor the quality of practice learning, student evaluations and any practice learning concerns. There's evidence of a partnership approach to address, monitor and manage any adverse systems regulator reports including Care Quality Commission (CQC) reports.

The approved adult route will be delivered at BC by the existing UoS programme team. Students will have personal tutors and academic assessors who is part of the adult field team. Two BC based academics, who are registered nurses and currently deliver health and social care programmes, will provide additional support for students. They won't undertake academic assessments or act as personal tutors or academic assessors.





All face-to-face teaching including seminars, tutorial support and low and highfidelity simulation-based skills development will be delivered at BC by the UoS programme team. Students will share online learning with year one UoS based students. Core four field teaching in year one is supported and delivered at BC by the main programme team from UoS. Students will travel to the main campus for shared simulation-based learning to support learning across the four fields of nursing. They'll attend the annual voices and choices conference that includes learning with SUCs. The conference is part of the UoS strategic action plan for ongoing student engagement with SUCs.

There's a philosophy that emphasises that students studying at BC are undertaking the programme as UoS students. Induction to the programme is provided to all students through shared sessions at the start of the programme.

This major modification visit is undertaken face-to-face.

Arrangements at programme level don't meet the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018).

Arrangements at programme level meet the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018).

The major modification is recommended to the NMC for approval subject to two NMC conditions. No recommendations are made.

Updated 7 July 2022:

Evidence is provided to meet the two NMC conditions.

The conditions are met.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recomme	nded outcome of the approval panel
Recommended outcome	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
to the NMC:	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
Conditions:	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.
	Condition one: Update the SUC strategy action plan to demonstrate the ways in which SUCs are involved in the delivery, assessment and evaluation of the programme that's delivered at BC. (SFNME R1.12)
	Selection, admission and progression: None identified.
	Practice learning: None identified.
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award: None identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance: Condition two: Update the BC specific programme handbook to ensure it clearly details how students will maintain their identity as UoS students and how they will be supported to transition into years two and three of the programme at UoS. (SFNME R3.2)
Date condition(s) to be met:	7 July 2022
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	None identified.
Focused areas for future monitoring:	Parity of the student experience at BC.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met

Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:

Revised programme documentation provides evidence that the two NMC conditions are met.

An update to the SUC strategy includes an action plan that demonstrates how SUCs will be involved across the programme at BC. Condition one is met.

A revised programme handbook details how students undertaking the programme at BC access all UoS support processes. It also details the transition into years two and three of the programme at the UoS campus. Condition two is met.





Courion	MACDON	IALD
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO	n
Summary of observations made, if applicable		
Final recommendation	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval	\boxtimes
made to NMC:	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme	
Date condition(s) met:	7 July 2022	

Section three

NMC Programme standards

Please refer to NMC standards reference points:

Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC, 2018) Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018) Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018) The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018) Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020) QA Handbook (NMC, 2020)

Partnerships

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

- R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with service users and other stakeholders
- R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:





- R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders
- R2.4 comply with NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>
- R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes
- R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation
- R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

- R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs
- R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills
- R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning
- R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

- R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment
- R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment
- R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

- R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes
- R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme
- R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:





- R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments
- R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning
- R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Programme documentation and the visit confirms that UoS is committed to effective partnership working with BC and PLPs. This is evidenced in the development, delivery and evaluation of the current programme at strategic and operational levels. UoS has a long-standing partnership with BC that's underpinned by the shared objectives of widening access to higher education and meeting the skills of a future workforce in health and social care. Senior school and BC teams tell us that BC was successful in gaining investment for





the development of a health and digital centre. This provides the opportunity to develop and explore further partnership working to widen and increase access to health programmes for the local population of Bury. They tell us that tell us that the investment in the BC health and digital centre will meet local demand to provide education closer to home and within a local community to support students to access and transition into pre-registration nurse education.

The development is informed by collaboration and partnership working with the Greater Manchester combined health authority, the department for education, BC and UoS. The investment provides a building with teaching spaces and clinical skills laboratories that ensure provision is fit for purpose and continues to meet the demand for health education in Bury. Partnership working between UoS and BC demonstrates activities to support the recruitment of local applicants onto nursing programmes. Documentary evidence and discussion with UoS and BC senior teams demonstrate effective engagement with key stakeholders, including PLPs, school career contacts, BC students and former BC students who have progressed onto pre-registration nursing programmes at UoS. UoS and BC senior teams tell us that the delivery of year one at BC will enable local students to transition into higher education and study in year one closer to home.

PLPs tell us how they, UoS and BC have worked together to support the development of the satellite site and how this will contribute to the future local NHS workforce. UoS and BC senior teams and the programme team explain that subject to quality assurance reviews there's the potential that all three years of the adult field provision could be delivered at BC in the future.

UoS works in collaboration with other Greater Manchester approved education institutions (AEIs) and PLPs to provide opportunities that maximise practice learning opportunities. Documentary evidence and discussion at the visit confirms that UoS share responsibilities for theory and practice learning, supervision and assessment with PLPs.

There's documentary evidence of a SUC consultation meeting where the deputy head of directorate for nursing and midwifery provided information about the programme delivery at BC and how UoS have worked in partnership with BC. The SUC representative tells us that they're aware of the BC development through attendance at the SUC meeting. They've provided feedback and tell us that it's a positive development and that they welcome the opportunity to develop a community of practice at Bury. While they tell us that they're aware that students will access the programme at BC they aren't clear about how they'd be involved in the programme there. There's also no clear documentary evidence of how they'll be involved in the delivery, assessment and evaluation of the programme at BC. (Condition one)

The SUC representative tells us that SUCs are listened to, valued and supported and feel that they are active partners in the delivery of the programme at the





UoS campus. They make an active contribution to the learning and teaching of students through the module voices and choices in year one. They contribute to the selection and interview processes. This involves the development of scenarios and writing interview questions. Further SUC involvement includes a contribution to the voices and choices annual conference. The programme team confirm that students will travel to the main UoS campus to attend this annual conference.

The programme team tell us about the delivery of the programme at BC and the strategies to ensure that students have parity of experience with students at the UoS campus. They describe the support for students as equitable to those studying at the UoS campus, this includes pastoral support, study skills support and library services. Documentation confirms that students are allocated to personal tutors and will have additional support from the BC academic team who will direct students to appropriate services at BC and UoS. The programme handbook details appropriate programme information including support mechanisms, placement information and how to escalate concerns. Information in the programme handbook doesn't clearly detail how BC students will maintain an identity as UoS students and how they will be supported to transition into years two and three of the programme at UoS. (Condition two)

At induction students are introduced to the study skills support available for students at UoS and BC. Students can access libraries at UoS and BC. Online access to student services is also available and accessed through the e-learning platform Blackboard. Learning and assessment information and feedback are available on each module Blackboard site. The programme team tell us that students will access relevant books from the reading list and key texts are available as hard copy at BC library. Discussion with the BC librarian demonstrates that there is effective preparation to support students. Most resources from the reading list are electronic and available via the student library portal. An introduction to the UoS library facilities will be part of the induction week and there will be a separate introduction to the BC library.

Documentary evidence including minutes of consultation meetings and student questionnaires confirm student involvement in the discussions related to delivery of the programme at BC. This includes students who've completed health and social care courses at BC. Current adult nursing field students tell us about how the provision of an adult nursing programme at BC will benefit students who live in the locality. They tell us that the support provided by UoS is positive and that they feel well supported and can seek support from module leads, course leads and personal tutors. They confirm the process for providing and receiving feedback and understand how to raise any concerns. The process for escalating concerns is clearly detailed in the programme handbook. The programme team tell us that students at BC will follow the same processes as those on the main UoS campus.






Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education NOT MET MET The SUC representative tells us that they're aware that students will access the programme at BC, they aren't clear about how they'd be involved in the programme there. There's also no clear documentary evidence of how they'll be involved in the delivery, assessment and evaluation of the programme at BC. (Condition one) Condition one: Update the SUC strategy action plan to demonstrate the ways in which SUCs are involved in the delivery, assessment, and evaluation of the programme that's delivered at BC. (SFNME R1.12) Information in the programme handbook doesn't clearly detail how BC students will maintain an identity as UoS students and how they will be supported to transition into years two and three of the programme at the UoS campus. (Condition two) Condition two: Update the BC specific programme handbook to ensure it clearly details how students will maintain their identity as UoS students and how they will be supported to transition into years two and three of the programme at UoS. (SFNME R3.2) Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment MET 🖂 NOT MET Post Event Review Identify how the condition is met: Condition one: The updated SUC strategy includes an action plan that demonstrates how SUCs are involved in the delivery, assessment and evaluation of the programme that's delivered at BC. Condition one is now met. Evidence: Revised, future nurse curriculum, pre-registration nursing, SUC strategy 2022-2024, undated Condition two: A revised programme handbook details information for students at BC that demonstrates how UoS will support them to maintain their identity as UoS

students. This also provides information on how they will be supported to transition

into years two and three of the programme at the UoS campus.



Student journey through the programme
Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression
Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners,
must:
R1.1 Confirm on entry to the programme that students: R1.1.1 are suitable for their intended field of nursing practice:
adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
R1.1.2 demonstrate values in accordance with the Code
R1.1.3 have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code
R1.1.4 have capability to develop numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes
R1.1.5 can demonstrate proficiency in English language
R1.1.6 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes
R1.1.7 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes.
R1.2 ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks
R1.3 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any cautions or convictions, pending charges or adverse determinations made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully
R1.4 ensure the registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute are able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a pre-registration nursing programme





- R1.5 permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme and comply with Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in annexe one of programme standards document)
- R1.6 for NMC registered nurses permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes that may be more than 50 percent of the programme
- R1.7 support students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes, and
- R1.8 ensure that all those enrolled on pre-registration nursing programmes are compliant with Article 31(1) of Directive 2005/36/EC regarding general education length as outlined in annexe one in programme standards document.

<u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.10

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the <u>Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC</u>, 2018).

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the <u>Standards for</u> <u>student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC, 2018).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:


• Evidence that selection processes ensure entrants onto the programme are suitable for the intended field of nursing practice and demonstrate values and have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code. Evidence of service users and practitioners involvement in selection processes. (R1.1.1, R1.1.2, R1.1.3)

YES 🖂

 Evidence of selection processes, including statements on digital literacy, literacy, numeracy, values-based selection criteria, educational entry standard required, and progression and assessment strategy, English language proficiency criteria specified in recruitment processes (R1.1.4 – R1.1.7).

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M MOTT MACDONALD
 There is evidence of immunisation plans, f 	occupational health entry criteria, ino itness for nursing assessments, Crim r practice processes detailed (R1.2)	
to applicants and stue review timescales. Fi	processes are evidenced including in dents, including details of periodic he tness for practice processes evidence applicants and students are detailed (YES	ealth and character ed and
•	ce for providing supporting declaration directing the educational programme YES	
evidence AND discussion	<u>mary</u> from your documentary ana at the approval visit to demonstra oval criteria below is met or not m	te if assurance is
programme outcome programme up to a m	on of prior learning processes, mapp s at all levels and against academic le naximum of 50 percent of the program Directive 2005/36/EC (R1.5) MET 🖂	evels of the
R1.5 is met. Unchanged three	—	
	C registered nurses recognition of proped to the <i>Standards of proficiency</i> in ne outcomes (R1.6)	5
R1.6 is met. Unchanged three	ough this modification.	
proficiency standards programme meets NI	ligital and technological literacy mapp and programme outcomes. Provide MC requirements, mapping how the i es and programme outcomes.	evidence that the
0	nt record (OAR) and practice assessn ompetence outcomes in numeracy, li	

0	Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality	Μ
5	Midwiferv	assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M
Z	Council	nursing associate education.	MOTT
	oourion	meet programme outcomes. De	MACDONALD etail support
	strategies for students t	hroughout the programme in cor	ntinuously
	developing their abilities literacy to meet program	s in numeracy, literacy, digital ar	id technological
	interacy to meet program	MET 🛛	
		ala their ann altin ation	
	s met. Unchanged throu nce provides assurance	ce that the following QA appro	val criteria are met:
•	•	to ensure that all those enrolled	
	general education lengt	e compliant with Directive 2005/ h (R1.8)	36/EC regarding
			'ES 🛛 🛛 🗌
Propo	osed transfer of curren	t students to the programme u	Inder review
-			
		alysis and your meeting with s	
		onfirm how the <i>Standards for</i> andards of proficiency for reg	-
		of existing students onto the	
progr	amme.		-
Fyistir	na students won't he trar	nsferring to the year one delivery	at BC
		t students to the <u>Standards for</u>	
super	rvision and assessmen	<u>t (SSSA)</u> (NMC, 2 <mark>018).</mark>	
Erom	vour documentary and	alysis and your meetings at the	approval visit
		ansferring to the SSSA, and if	
		y prepared for supervision and	
Tho S	SSA is implemented.		
		teway 1: Standards framework f	or nursing and
		o selection, admission and progr	ression are met
		Y	'ES 🛛 NO 🗌
Outco	ome		
	standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
Deter	00 14 0000		
	26 May 2022 event review		
	fy how the condition(s) is met:	
N/A			
Date			
Date	condition(s) met:		



Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET 🗌 🛛 🛛

NOT MET

N/A

Standard 2: Curriculum

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 ensure that programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R2.2 comply with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students and provides exposure across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.5 state routes within their pre-registration nursing programme that allows students to enter the register in one or more of the specific fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities or children's nursing
- R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and programme outcomes
- R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R2.8 ensure that field specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for entry to the register in one or more fields of nursing practice
- R2.9 ensure the curriculum provides an equal balance of theory and practice learning using a range of learning and teaching strategies
- R2.10 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language
- R2.11 ensure pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice are mapped to the content for nurses responsible for general care as set out in Annexe V.2 point 5.2.1 of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)
- R2.12 ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum programme length for nurses responsible for general care in Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)
- R2.13 ensure programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing, and





R2.14 ensure programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, are of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes are achieved in a nursing context.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically*:* R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.14, R2.15, R2.18, R2.19; R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R 3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment specifically: R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11

	Findings against the standard and requirements				
Evide	nce provides assurance that the following QA approval crit	teria are met:			
•	• There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1)				
	YES	NO 🗌			
•	There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC for student supervision and assessment (R2.2)	Standards			
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
 Mapping to show how the curriculum and practice learning con the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and each of of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities ar nursing (R2.3) 		the four fields			
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
evide	Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.				
•	There is evidence to show how the design and delivery of the p will support students in both theory and practice to experience four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning dis children's nursing (R2.4)	across all			
R2.4 i	s met. Unchanged through this modification.				
•	Evidence that programme structure/design/delivery will illustrat fields of practice that allows students to enter the register in on	•			

M	ursing 1idwife ouncil	ery	Better, safer care th assurance of nursing, nursing associate	midwifery and	м	1 _{этт} М
sp	ecific field	s of nursing	practice. Evidence		cific learnin	g g
				MET 🖂] NOT	МЕТ 🗌
		-	gh this modification			
Evidence	e provides	s assuranc	e that the followir	ng QA appro	oval criteria	are met:
pro	ogramme i		napping has been ; requirements of th)			
		, ,		•	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
ne pra	cessary to	meet the p	napping has been programme outcom lealth, learning disa	nes for each f	field of nurs	ing
	,			Y	'ES 🖂	NO 🗌
evidence provided • Th sp an rec	e AND dis I that the one ecific cont id medicino gister in or	cussion at QA approv dence that r ent in relatives administ ne or more f	ary from your doo the approval visit al criteria below i napping has been on to the law, safe ration and optimisa fields of nursing pro	t to demons s met or not undertaken t guarding, cor ation is incluc actice (R2.8) MET	trate if ass t met. o ensure th nsent, phan led for entry	at field macology
		-	gh this modificatior			
pra de tea ha ea ain mo	actice lear scriptors a aching stra indbook ar ich part of ms, descrip odel for the	ning. This is and practice ategies are and module of the program otors and of e delivery of	re demonstrates ar s detailed in the de learning allocation detailed in the prog descriptors with the nme and at end po utcomes specified. f the programme th ted hours for the p	signated hou ns. A range o gramme spec eory / practice int. There an There is a p nat clearly de	urs in the m of learning a cification, pr balance d e appropria ractice alloo monstrates	odule and rogramme etailed at ite module cation the 2.9)

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	М _{мотт} М
R2.9 is met. Unchanged thro	ugh this modification.	MACDONALD
Evidence provides assurar	ice that the following QA appro	val criteria are met:
Evidence to ensure th	at programmes delivered in Wale orts the use of the Welsh languag	s comply with any
	YES 🗌	NO 🗌 N/A 🖂
The programme is delivered	in England only.	
nurses responsible for	gramme outcomes are mapped to general care and will ensure suc ement for entry to the register in th	cessful students met
	Y	ES 🛛 NO 🗌
equivalent of minimum care in Article 31(3) ofEvidence that program	registration nursing programme w programme length for nurses re Directive 2005/36/EC (R2.12) mes leading to registration in two e length to ensure proficiency in b	sponsible for general YES I NO I
(R2.13)	YES	_
The proposed route doesn't l	ead to registration in another field	d of nursing.
registration in another	at programmes leading to nursing profession, will be of suitable len omes will be achieved in a nursin	gth and nursing
	YES	NO 🗌 N/A 🖂
The proposed route doesn't l	ead to registration in another prot	fession.
Assurance is provided that G <u>midwifery education</u> relevant		f <u>or nursing and</u> ES 🖂 NO 🗔
	ateway 2: <u>Standards for student</u> cula and assessment are met	





Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET 🗌
Date: 26 May 2022		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
		_
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET	
N/A		

Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R3.2 ensure that students experience the variety of practice expected of registered nurses to meet the holistic needs of people of all ages
- R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R3.4 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment and pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice comply with Article 31(5) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)
- R3.5 take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities
- R3.6 ensure students experience the range of hours expected of registered nurses, and
- R3.7 ensure that students are supernumerary.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically:

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M MOTT MACDONALD
	11; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, F vision and assessment, specifically:	
Findings ag	ainst the standard and requireme	nts
evidence AND discussion	<u>mary</u> from your documentary ana at the approval visit to demonstra oval criteria below is met or not m	te if assurance is
and meet the <i>Standal</i> and effective care, to	ctice learning opportunities allow stu rds of proficiency for registered nurs a diverse range of people, across th t, mental health, learning disabilities	es to deliver safe le four fields of
	MET 🖂	
R3.1 is met. Unchanged thro	ough this modification.	
the variety of practice people in all ages. Th	now the programme will ensure stud learning experiences to meet the ho ere are appropriate processes for as ating these practice experiences (R3 MET 🖂	olistic needs of ssessing,
R3.2 is met. Unchanged thro	ough this modification.	
communication and re procedures, as set ou	ctice learning opportunities allow stu elationship management skills and n at in the <i>Standards of proficiency for</i> fields of nursing practice: adult, ment en's nursing (R3.3) MET 🖂	ursing <i>registered nurses</i> ,
R3.3 is met. Unchanged thro	ough this modification.	
opportunities are used and assessment and	echnology enhanced and simulation- d effectively and proportionately to s pre-registration nursing programmes It field of practice comply with Article	upport learning s leading to
	MET 🖂	

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through qualit assurance of nursing, midwifery a nursing associate education.	1	M MOTT MACDONALD
R3.4 is met. Documentary evi equitable access to technolog provision at the main campus been designed in partnership UoS and BC senior teams pro Students will attend the main learning.	ical and simulation-based res . The BC simulation-based lea with BC staff and the UoS ski ovide the design specifications	ources tha arning faci Ils and sir s for the B	at reflect the ilities have nulation team. C resources.
and personal circumsta	n place to take account of stud ances when allocating their pro anable adjustments for disabili MET	actice leai ties (R3.5	rning
R3.5 is met. Documentary evi team ensures that practice lear practice learning placements BC students with Bury postco environments.	arning allocations will support in Bury. The In Place allocatic	students t on system	to undertake will identify
Evidence provides assuran	ce that the following QA app	proval cri	teria are met:
1 0	amme is planned to allow for s ected of registered nurses (e.		
		YES 🛛	NO 🗌
 Processes are in place 	to ensure that students are s	upernume YES ⊠	
Assurance is provided that Ga		rk for nurs	sing and
midwifery education relevant	to practice learning are met	YES 🖂	ΝΟ
Assurance is provided that Ga <u>assessment</u> relevant to pract	-	nt supervi YES ⊠	ision and NO 🗌
Outcome	MET		
Is the standard met?	MET		
Date: 26 May 2022 Post event review			

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care the assurance of nursing, nursing associate	midwifery and	M MOTT MACDONALD
Identify how the condition	(s) is met:		
N/A			
Date condition(s) met:			
N/A			
Revised outcome after con	dition(s) met:	MET	
N/A			
Standard 4: Supervision ar	nd assessment		
	iu assessment		

- Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:
- R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme
- R4.4 provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development
- R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R4.6 ensure that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100%
- R4.7 ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing
- R4.8 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse
- R4.9 ensure that there is equal weighting in the assessment of theory and practice
- R4.10 ensure that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, and
- R4.11 ensure the knowledge and skills for nurses responsible for general care set out in Article 31(6) and the competencies for nurses responsible for general care set out in Article 31(7) of Directive 2005/36/EC for pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice have been met. (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically:



R2.11; R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17; R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Nursing & Midwifery

Counci

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R4.1 – R4.11

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education. (R4.1)
 MET
 NOT MET

R4.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

• There is evidence of how the *Standards for student supervision and assessment* are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessor along with how they will be prepared for their roles. (R4.2)

MET 🖂 🛛 NOT MET 🗌

R4.2 is met. The Greater Manchester collaboration ensures that the SSSA is applied to the programme. Practice placement facilitators tell us that they're aware that students undertaking the programme will be studying at BC. They tell us that awareness of the new provision has been integrated into practice supervisor and practice assessor preparation and updates.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

 There are processes in place to ensure the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme (R4.3)

 $\mathsf{YES}\boxtimes\qquad\mathsf{NO}\square$

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

• There are processes in place to provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development. Formative and summative assessment strategy is detailed (R4.4)

	Nursing & Midwifery Council		fery and	M MOTT MACDONALD NOT MET
•	placements to ensure the Standards of proficiency		e that stude nd programr	nts meet the me outcomes for
R4.5	is met. Unchanged throug	gh this modification.		
Evide	ence provides assuranc	e that the following QA	approval o	criteria are met:
•	assessment related to r	all programmes include a oursing proficiencies and with a score of 100 perce	calculation	of medicines
•	relationship manageme	to ensure that students n nt skills and nursing proc mental health, learning d	edures with isabilities ar	nin their fields of nd children's
			YES 🛛	
•	•	to assess students to cor onal practice as a registe		R4.8)
•	all credit bearing assess	t strategy with details and sments. Theory and prac riteria and programme ha	tice weightii	ng is calculated (4.9)
•	achievement which mus	all proficiencies are record at demonstrate the achieve tandards of proficiency for	vement of p	roficiencies and <i>d nurses</i> (R4.10)

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care th assurance of nursing, nursing associate	midwifery and	M MOTT MACDONALD
 Evidence to ensure th general care set out in responsible for general for pre-registration nu field of practice have 	n article 31(6) and the al care set out in artic rsing programmes le	e competencies cle 31(7) of Direc	for nurses ctive 2005/36/EC ation in the adult
Assurance is provided that G midwifery education relevant			met
Assurance is provided that C <u>assessment</u> are met	Gateway 2: <u>Standard</u>	<u>s for student sup</u> YES	
Outcome			
Is the standard met?		MET 🖂	NOT MET
Data: 26 May 2022			
Date: 26 May 2022 Post event review			
Identify how the condition	(s) is met:		
N/A	· · ·		
Date condition(s) met:			
N/A			
Revised outcome after cor	ndition(s) met:	МЕТ	
N/A			

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R5.1 ensure that the minimum award for a pre-registration nursing programme is a bachelor's degree, and
- R5.2 notify students during and before completion of the programme that they have five years to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in our standards.

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M MOTT MACDONALE
Standards framework for nul R2.20	rsing and midwifery education, spec	ifically R2.11,
	ainst the standards and requireme	
Evidence provides assura	nce that the following QA approva	il criteria are met:
	ursing programme award to be appr mme documentation and is a minim	
	YE	S 🖂 🛛 NO 🗌
the educational progra have advised student	ce that the registered nurse responsi amme or their designated registered s during and before completion of th tion within five years of the award. (I YE	I nurse substitute e requirement to
Fall Back Award		
	ard with registration as a nurse all N	MC standards and
proficiencies are met within t	the award YES	
	—	
Fall back awards don't lead	to registration with the NMC.	and midwifery
	alification to be awarded are met.	<u>ana miawiory</u>
	YE	S 🖂 🛛 NO 🗌
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	
Date: 26 May 2022		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition	(s) is met:	
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after cor	ndition(s) met: MET	

R/









Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and	\boxtimes	
consultation		
Programme specification(s) include fields of nursing	\boxtimes	
practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and		
children's nursing		
Module descriptors	\boxtimes	
Student facing documentation including: programme		
handbook	\boxtimes	
Student university handbook	\boxtimes	
Practice assessment documentation	\boxtimes	
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	\square	
Practice learning environment handbook	\bowtie	
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and	\square	
assessors specific to the programme		
Academic assessor focused information specific to the	\boxtimes	
programme		
Placement allocation / structure of programme	\boxtimes	
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped	\boxtimes	
against Standards of proficiency for registered nurses		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	\bowtie	
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) (Gateway		
1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	\boxtimes	
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC,		
2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	\boxtimes	
education institution has met the Standards for pre-		
registration nursing programmes (NMC, 2018) (Gateway		
3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff	\boxtimes	
OV of the registered puree recreatible for directing the		
CV of the registered nurse responsible for directing the	\boxtimes	
education programme		
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC	\boxtimes	
website	\square	
External examiner appointments and arrangements		
Written placement agreement(s) between the education	凶	
institution and associated practice learning partners to		
support the programme intentions.		

Nursing & Midwifery Council	Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.	M MOTT MACDONALD	
Written agreement(s) to sup			
	ation institution and employer		
partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).			
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:			
There's no apprenticeship route being modified.			
List additional documentation	ר:		
Post visit documentation:			
Revised, future nurse curriculum, pre-registration nursing, SUC strategy 2022-			
2024, undated			
Revised, programme handbook, BSc (Hons) nursing (children and young people,			
adult, mental health), 29 May	/ 2022		
Additional comments:			
None identified.			

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO	
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with	\square		
responsibility for resources for the programme			
Senior managers from associated practice learning			
partners with responsibility for resources for the			
programme			
Senior managers from associated employer partners		\bowtie	
with responsibility for resources for the programme			
(applicable for apprenticeship routes)			
Dragramma taam/aaadamia aaaaaaaa			
Programme team/academic assessors			
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors			
Students	\square		
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:			
Nursing, adult field, year one, x two			
Service users and carers			
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:			
There's no apprenticeship route being modified.			
Additional comments:			
None identified.			

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

YES	NO
. = 0	





Council		MACDONALL
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical		\square
skills/simulation suites)		
Library facilities		
Technology enhanced learning/virtual learning	\square	
environment		
Educational audit tools/documentation	\square	
Practice learning environments		\boxtimes
If practice learning environments are visited, state where		•
UoS is an established AEI with existing PLPs therefore practice learning visits weren't required.		
System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning partners		
System regulator reports list: CQC, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, inspection report, 19 March 2019 CQC, Bolton NHS Foundation Trust, inspection report, 11 April 2019 CQC, The Pennine Acute Hospitals Trust Royal Oldham Hospital, inspection report, 11 February 2021 CQC, Affinity Healthcare Ltd, Cheadle Royal Hospital, inspection report, 10 November 2021		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: Specialist teaching accommodation including clinical skills and simulation suites are currently under construction. The UoS quality assurance and enhancement lead presented architect plans and photographs of the BC health and digital centre. Plans of the temporary clinical skills space that's being constructed in the BC higher education building for September 2022 were also presented at the visit. Additional comments:		


None identified.

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record	
Final Report	





Author(s):	Elizabeth Ann Mason	Date:	6 June 2022
Checked by:	Bernadette Martin	Date:	9 June 2022
Submitted by:	Lucy Percival	Date:	25 July 2022
Approved by:	Colleen Regan	Date:	28 July 2022