



### **Programme Major Modification report**

#### Section one

Programme provider name:	University of Dundee		
In partnership with: (Associated practice learning partners and/or employer partners involved in the delivery of the programme)	NHS Tayside NHS Fife NHS Highland NHS Forth Valley Private, voluntary and independent health care providers		
Programmes reviewed:	Pre-registration nurse qualification leading to Registered Nurse – Adult Registered Nurse – Children's Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities Registered Nurse - Mental Health  Nursing Degree Apprenticeship (NDA) route NDA Adult NDA Children's NDA Learning Disabilities NDA Mental Health  Dual award - pre-registration nursing Dual award - adult/mental health Dual award - adult/children's Dual award - adult/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities Dual award - mental health/children's Dual award - learning disabilities/children's		
Title of current programme(s):			
Title of modified programme(s) if changed:	BSc (Hons) Nursing (Child and Mental Health) MSc Nursing (Child and Mental Health)		
Academic levels of current	programme:		
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7		



### M MOTT MACDONALD

	ı			
	SCQF	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Registered Nurse –	England, Wal	les, Northern Level 6	Ireland Level 7	
Children's	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Registered Nurse - Learning		les, Northern Level 6	Ireland Level 7	
Disabilities	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Registered Nurse - Mental		les, Northern Level 6	Ireland Level 7	
Health	SCQF  Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
NDA Adult	England, Wal	les, Northern Level 6	Ireland Level 7	
	SCQF  Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
NDA Children's	England, Wal	les, Northern Level 6		
	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
NDA Learning Disabilities	England, Wal	les, Northern Level 6	Ireland Level 7	
	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	England, Wal	les, Northern Level 6		
NDA Mental Health	SCQF  Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Dual award - adult/mental health	England, Wal	les, Northern Level 6	Ireland Level 7	
	SCQF ☐ Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11





				MAGDOTTALE
Dual award - adult/children's	England, Wal		reland Level 7	
	SCQF ☐ Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	England, Wale			
Dual award - adult/learning	_	□ Level 6	Level /	
disabilities	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Dual award - mental	England, Wald		reland  Level 7	
health/learning disabilities	SCQF ☐ Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Dual award - mental	England, Wald		reland Level 7	
health/children's	SCQF ☐ Level 8	Level 9	⊠ Level 10	⊠ Level 11
Dual award - learning disabilities/children's	England, Wald			
	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Academic levels of modified	d programme	(s) if chang	ed:	
Registered Nurse – Adult	England, Wald		reland Level 7	
	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Registered Nurse – Children's	England, Wald		reland  Level 7	
	SCQF  Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Registered Nurse - Learning Disabilities	England, Wald	es, Northern I		
	SCQF ☐ Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11



M	
мотт	M
MACDO	ΝΔΙΩ

	MACDON	750
Registered Nurse - Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
NDA Adult	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
NDA Children's	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
1.1271 O.I.II.G. 1.10	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
NDA Learning Disabilities	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
NDA Mental Health	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
Dual award - adult/mental	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
health	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
Dual award - adult/children's	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
Dual award - adult/learning disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 1	1
Dual award - mental health/learning disabilities	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	





				MAGDOTTALL
	SCQF  Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	England, Wal	les, Northern I	reland	
Dual award - mental		Level 6		
health/children's	SCQF Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
	England, Wal	les, Northern I	reland	
		Level 6		
Dual award - learning		_		
disabilities/children's	SCQF			
	Level 8	Level 9	Level 10	Level 11
Programme start date:				
RN – Adult				
RN – Children's				
RN - Learning Disabilities				
RN - Mental Health				
NDA Adult				
NDA Children's				
NDA Learning Disabilities				
NDA Mental Health				
Dual award - Adult/Mental				
Health				
Dual award -				
Adult/Children's				
Dual award - Adult/Learning				
Disabilities				
Dual award – Mental				
Health/Learning Disabilities	0-0			
Dual award – Mental	27 Septemb	er 2021		
Health/Children's				
Dual award – Learning				
Disabilities/Children's	00.0.1			
Date of approval:	23 October 2	2020		
Type of Modification:	Visit			
QA visitor(s):	Registrant V	isitor: Ronnie	e Meechan	





#### **Summary of review and findings**

The University of Dundee (UoD), (the university) is an NMC approved education institution (AEI). The school of nursing and health sciences (the school) delivers approved NMC pre-registration nursing programmes across two academic sites at Dundee and Kirkcaldy. The school was approved to deliver pre-registration Bachelor of science (BSc) and BSc (Hons) in adult, child and mental health nursing and Master of science (MSc) adult, child and mental health nursing routes on 12 February 2020.

The university present a modification to the pre-registration nursing programme to include a four-year dual-award route. The proposed route includes a BSc (Hons) child and mental health nursing and an MSc child and mental health nursing. The MSc route is offered to applicants who hold a first degree.

The dual-award route has been negotiated and developed with practice learning partners (PLPs). There's documentary evidence of partnership working both within minutes of curriculum stakeholder events and the inclusion of written placement agreements. Programme documentation and the approval process confirm evidence of effective partnership working between the university and stakeholders. There's clear evidence of the involvement of stakeholders and their commitment to the co-production, delivery and continual enhancement of the programme.

The university is a member of the all Scotland practice learning network which has developed a national framework for practice assessors, practice supervisors and academic assessors in Scotland. The university works in partnership with other AEIs to develop, maintain and evaluate the all Scotland practice assessment documentation (PAD). This initiative provides a consistent approach to the assessment of practice.

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) are met at a programme level. The Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) are met at programme level. The Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (SPNP) (NMC, 2018) are not met at programme level.

The programme is recommended for approval subject to one NMC condition.

The visit is undertaken remotely due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Updated 6 November 2020:





The UoD has provided revised documentation to meet the NMC condition. The condition is met.

The programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel					
Recommended outcome	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval				
to the NMC:	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met				
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme				
Conditions:	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:				
Conditions.	None identified.				
	Selection, admission and progression:				
	Condition one: Provide a revised programme specification to confirm the application of recognition of prior learning (RPL) to the dual award route. (SPNP R1.5)				
	Practice learning:				
	None identified.				
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award:				
	None identified.				
	Education governance: management and quality assurance: None identified.				
Date condition(s) to be met:	6 November 2020				
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	None identified.				





Focused areas for future	None identified.
monitoring:	

Programme is recomme	ended for approval subject to specific conditions being met		
Commentary post review of	of evidence against conditions:		
The programme team has provided a revised programme specification to confirm the application of RPL to the dual-award route.			
Condition one is met.	Observations have been made by the advection		
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO		
Summary of observations made, if applicable			
Final recommendation	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval		
made to NMC:	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme		
Date condition(s) met:	6 November 2020		
NMC Programme standards			
Please refer to NMC standards reference points:  Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC, 2018)  Future nurse: Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018)  Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)  Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)  The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)  QA framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2018)  QA Handbook			
Partnershins			





The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

#### Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

#### **Standard 1: The learning culture:**

R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with service users and other stakeholders

R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

#### Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

#### **Standard 3: Student empowerment:**

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

#### Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others





#### Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

#### Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

#### **Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:**

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

#### **Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:**

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

### Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

#### Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Findings against the standard and requirements





Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirms there's effective partnership working between the school and PLPs. Minutes of curriculum development meetings confirm a partnership approach to the co-production and design of the proposed dual-award routes. Documentary analysis demonstrates the programme team's commitment to work with stakeholders to co-produce and deliver the programme. Documentary evidence confirms that service users and carers are involved in and contribute to the programme. A variety of stakeholder events ensure inclusive consultation during programme development. PLPs and students confirm the effectiveness of partnerships. Stakeholders tell us that their role and contribution to programme development is valued. The university is proactive in working collaboratively with local AEIs to ensure a consistent approach to practice learning and assessment.

Written placement agreements are developed in conjunction with PLPs. The university has a system in place to monitor placement agreements which commit the university and PLPs to provide safe and supportive practice learning environments for pre-registration nursing students. The university has comprehensive systems, processes and supporting infrastructures for programme development and delivery. There are effective partnerships at strategic and operational levels.

There's evidence of a commitment by the school and PLPs to work in partnership to support the implementation and delivery of the proposed dual-award route. PLPs are enthusiastic about the implementation of the proposed route. They have a process for preparing staff to ensure students have the opportunity to have exposure across the range of skills and procedures in annexes A and B of the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018).

PLPs tell us that there are effective processes in place to respond appropriately to student, practice assessor and practice supervisor concerns. The programme team tell us that there are systems and processes in place to ensure academic assessors are supported in their role to support students in the practice learning environment. The programme team and PLPs tell us that there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning in practice learning environments. This includes identifying and preparing practice assessors and practice supervisors for their roles.

Documentary evidence confirms student involvement and contribution to the design and development of the proposed routes. Students tell us they've contributed to the curriculum development. Documentary evidence confirms the school has processes in place for students to provide theory and practice learning feedback through a student representative system. Students are represented on



outcomes.

Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.



programme committees. Students tell us that the programme team respond to their feedback appropriately.

feedback appropriately.
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education
MET ⊠ NOT MET □
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>
MET ⊠ NOT MET □
Post Event Review
Identify how the condition is met:
N/A
Date condition(s) met:
N/A
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET
N/A
Student journey through the programme
Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression
Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:
R1.1 Confirm on entry to the programme that students: R1.1.1 are suitable for their intended field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing R1.1.2 demonstrate values in accordance with the Code R1.1.3 have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code R1.1.4 have capability to develop numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes R1.1.5 can demonstrate proficiency in English language
R1.1.6 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes R1.1.7 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme





R1.2 ensure students' health and character are sufficient to enable safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks

R1.3 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any cautions or convictions, pending charges or adverse determinations made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments, and that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully

R1.4 ensure the registered nurse responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute are able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a pre-registration nursing programme

R1.5 permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme and comply with Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in annexe one of programme standards document) R1.6 for NMC registered nurses permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes that may be more than 50 percent of the programme R1.7 support students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes, and

R1.8 ensure that all those enrolled on pre-registration nursing programmes are compliant with Article 31(1) of Directive 2005/36/EC regarding general education length as outlined in annexe one in programme standards document.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.10

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the <u>Standards for pre-registration nursing programmes</u> (NMC, 2018).

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment</u> (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the <u>Standards for</u> <u>student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC, 2018).

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

#### Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

• Evidence that selection processes ensure entrants onto the programme are suitable for the intended field of nursing practice and demonstrate values and have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code.





	Evidence of service users and practitioners involvement processes. (R1.1.1, R1.1.2, R1.1.3)	ent in se	election	
	processes. (K1.1.1, K1.1.2, K1.1.3)	YES [		NO 🗌
•	<ul> <li>Evidence of selection processes, including statements on digital literacy, literacy, numeracy, values-based selection criteria, educational entry standard required, and progression and assessment strategy, English language proficiency criteria specified in recruitment processes (R1.1.4 – R1.1.7).</li> </ul>			/ sh
		YES [		NO 🗌
•	There is evidence of occupational health entry criteria immunisation plans, fitness for nursing assessments, checks and fitness for practice processes detailed (R	Crimina		
	checks and hinese for practice processes detailed (iv	YES [		NO 🗌
•	Health and character processes are evidenced included to applicants and students, including details of period review timescales. Fitness for practice processes evidents information given to applicants and students are detailed.	ic healtl denced	n and cl and	•
	information given to applicants and students are deta	YES	_ ′	NO 🗌
•	Processes are in place for providing supporting declar nurse responsible for directing the educational programmer.	ımme (F	R1.4)	_
		YES [	_	NO 🗌
evide	de an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary nce AND discussion at the approval visit to demor ded that the QA approval criteria below is met or n	strate i		ance is
•	Evidence of recognition of prior learning processes, no programme outcomes at all levels and against acade programme up to a maximum of 50 percent of the prowith Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (R1.5)	mic leve	els of th	е
	MET		NOT N	IET 🖂
	s not met. The programme specification doesn't detail oposed route. (Condition one)	how RF	PL is ap	plied to
•	Evidence that for NMC registered nurses recognition capable of being mapped to the <i>Standards of proficie nurses</i> and programme outcomes (R1.6)			
	MET		NOT N	IET 🗌
R1.6 is	s met. Unchanged from original approval on 12 Februa	ary 2020	Э.	





Numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy mapped against proficiency standards and programme outcomes. Provide evidence that the programme meets NMC requirements, mapping how the indicative content meets the proficiencies and programme outcomes.

Indicing achievement record (OAR) and practice assessment document d

Ongoing achievement record (OAK) and pract	ice assessine	ini document
(PAD) are linked to competence outcomes in r	numeracy, lite	racy, digital an
technological literacy to meet programme outc	omes. Detail:	support
strategies for students throughout the program	ime in continu	iously
developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy,	digital and te	chnological
literacy to meet programme outcomes (R1.7)		_
, ,	MET oxtimes	NOT MET $\Box$
		_
s met. Documentary evidence confirms the PAI	Dand the OAI	R are linked to

R1.7 is proficiency outcomes in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes. Students access study support from the library team, personal tutors and the programme team. Digital platforms include safeMedicate to support numeracy. The university has an established e-learning strategy which includes an e-learning steering group who review e-learning across the university.

To enter the programme applicants must have a higher or national five in mathematics and English or equivalent. Applicants to the MSc dual-award route will hold a degree with a minimum two:two classification. Digital literacy is assessed through the completion of an online application form. Numeracy is assessed throughout the programme; students must demonstrate a 100 percent pass prior to completion of the programme.

Students are required to complete a medicines management assessment including a drug calculations assessment. Module specifications identify where proficiency outcomes in numeracy are delivered and assessed. Module specifications confirm students are required and supported to continuously develop their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy in order to meet the NMC requirements and programme outcomes. Students tell us that they're supported, taught and assessed in these attributes in theory and practice learning environments.

#### Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

Evidence of processes to ensure that all those enrolled on pre-registration nursing programmes are compliant with Directive 2005/36/EC regarding general education length (R1.8)

YES 🖂	NO 🗆
i eo 🖂	NO _

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for pre-registration





nursing programmes and Standards of proficiency for registered nurses will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.

There are no current students as this is a proposed new route within the preregistration nursing programme.

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment (SSSA)</u> (NMC, 2018).

From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.

There are no current students as this is a proposed new route within the preregistration nursing programme.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and

midwifery education relevant to selection, admission a	and progressi YES [	
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET	NOT MET 🖂
The programme specification doesn't include evidence the dual-award route.	e that RPL ca	an be applied to
Condition one: Provide a revised programme specific application of RPL to the dual award route. (SPNP R		m the
Date: 23 October 2020		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
Condition one: The programme team has provided a specification to confirm the application of RPL to the		
Evidence: UoD, revised programme specification, undated		
Condition one is met.		
Date condition(s) met: 6 November 2020		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met-	MFT 🕅	NOT MET

#### **Standard 2: Curriculum**





### Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R2.1 ensure that programmes comply with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education* 

R2.2 comply with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment* R2.3 ensure that programme learning outcomes reflect the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and each of the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students and provides exposure across all four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R2.5 state routes within their pre-registration nursing programme that allows students to enter the register in one or more of the specific fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities or children's nursing R2.6 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes R2.7 set out the content necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each field of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R2.8 ensure that field specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, consent, pharmacology and medicines administration and optimisation is included for entry to the register in one or more fields of nursing practice

R2.9 ensure the curriculum provides an equal balance of theory and practice learning using a range of learning and teaching strategies

R2.10 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with legislation which supports use of the Welsh language

R2.11 ensure pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice are mapped to the content for nurses responsible for general care as set out in Annexe V.2 point 5.2.1 of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

R2.12 ensure that all pre-registration nursing programmes meet the equivalent of minimum programme length for nurses responsible for general care in Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document) R2.13 ensure programmes leading to registration in two fields of nursing practice are of suitable length to ensure proficiency in both fields of nursing, and R2.14 ensure programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, are of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes are achieved in a nursing context.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically: R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.14, R2.15, R2.18, R2.19; R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R 3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.

Standards for student supervision and assessment specifically: R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11





	Findings against the standard and require	ements	
Evide	ence provides assurance that the following QA app	roval cr	iteria are met:
•	There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standard framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1)		
		YES 🗵	NO 🗌
•	There is evidence that the programme complies with for student supervision and assessment (R2.2)	the NMC	Standards
		YES 🗵	NO 🗌
•	Mapping to show how the curriculum and practice lead the <i>Standards</i> of <i>proficiency for registered nurses</i> and of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disa nursing (R2.3)	d each o	f the four fields
		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
evide	de an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary nce AND discussion at the approval visit to demor ded that the QA approval criteria below is met or n	strate if	
•	There is evidence to show how the design and delive will support students in both theory and practice to exfour fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, le children's nursing (R2.4)	perience	across all
			NOT MET
design Progra studen specif Modu	is met. Documentary evidence and the approval visit on and delivery support student learning in all four fields amme documentation demonstrates that the proposed into the experience theory and practice learning in all field ications identify where field teaching, learning and asseles have field specific content and are mapped against and learning disabilities fields of practice and health contents.	of nursi route is ds of pra essment adult, m	ng practice. designed for actice. Module takes place. nental health,
gain e specif simula of pro that si	ence is made in module specifications to lifespan care exposure to learning associated with all fields of practic fications have specific learning outcomes in relation to ation activities. The PAD lists the skills in annexes A articiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018). Document tudents undertake practice learning experience in alterment agreements confirm support for students in practices.	e. Progr clinical s nd B of th ary evide native fie	amme skills and he Standards ence confirms elds. Written



assurance of nursing, midwifery and

M	
мотт	M
MACDO	NALD

Students tell us that they have direct care experience of all fields of nursing practice across the pre-registration nursing programme and are supported in

theory and the practice learning environment.	
<ul> <li>Evidence that programme structure/design/delivery will if fields of practice that allows students to enter the register specific fields of nursing practice. Evidence of field specific outcomes and content in the module descriptors (R2.5)</li> <li>MET </li> </ul>	er in one or more cific learning
R2.5 is met. Students complete field specific modules in theore components of the programme. Field specific learning outcome evident in relevant module descriptors. Programme documentathat programme structure, design and delivery is sufficient to e enter the register in the child and mental health fields of nursin tell us that they have sufficient theory and practice learning releptactice. The programme team and PLPs tell us that there are opportunities for students to gain relevant experience in children health nursing.	es and content is ation demonstrates enable students to ng practice. Students levant to their field of a sufficient learning en's and mental
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approv	val criteria are met:
<ul> <li>There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to programme meets NMC requirements of the Standards registered nurses (R2.6)</li> </ul>	
	YES NO
There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to necessary to meet the programme outcomes for each figure practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and cl (R2.7)	ield of nursing
,	ES 🖂 NO 🗌
Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary are evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonst provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not.  • There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to specific content in relation to the law, safeguarding, contand medicines administration and optimisation is included register in one or more fields of nursing practice (R2.8)  MET   MET	trate if assurance is met. o ensure that field asent, pharmacology led for entry to the
R2.8 is met. Mapping documents, the PAD, programme and m	





specific content in relation to the law, safeguardir medicines administration and optimisation through	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
<ul> <li>The programme structure demonstrates at practice learning. This is detailed in the de- descriptors and practice learning allocation teaching strategies are detailed in the prog- handbook and module descriptors with the each part of the programme and at end po- There are appropriate module aims, descriptore is a practice allocation model for the clearly demonstrates the achievement of oprogramme detailed. (R2.9)</li> </ul>	esignated hours in ns. A range of lea gramme specifica eory / practice bala pint. riptors and outcon e delivery of the padesignated hours	the module rning and tion, programme ance detailed at nes specified. rogramme that for the
	MET 🖂	NOT MET
R2.9 is met. The programme structure demonstrated and practice learning. Programme specifications designated hours for practice learning.	•	•
Programme documentation identifies appropriate outcomes. Proficiencies, skills and procedures are learning environment and recorded in the PAD. Fundertaken in collaboration with PLPs to ensure a practice learning experiences. Practice learning a students demonstrate achievement of designated health nursing practice.	re assessed in the Practice learning a students undertak allocation provides	e practice allocation is se relevant s assurance that

Documentary evidence confirms that students have exposure to a range of practice learning opportunities. There's a system for monitoring programme hours and retrieval of any deficit hours in theory and practice learning. Documentary evidence and the approval visit confirm a comprehensive range of learning and teaching strategies. These include flipped classroom, reflection with peers, clinicians and service users and carers, lectures and group work. Technology facilitated online learning is undertaken through the virtual learning environment, Blackboard collaborate. Students undertake simulated practice and clinical skills development. Students tell us that there's a wide range of learning opportunities supporting them to gain relevant experience in all fields of nursing.

<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure that programmes deliver legislation which supports the use of the W</li> </ul>	elsh language	, ,	ith any N/A ⊠
The programme is delivered in Scotland.			





<ul> <li>Evidence that the programme outcomes are mapped to the content for nurses responsible for general care and will ensure successful students met the registration requirement for entry to the register in the adult field of practice (R2.11)</li> </ul>				
praemee (marri)	,	YES	<b>S</b> 🗌	NO $\boxtimes$
The dual-award route is children's and mental health no required to be mapped to the content for nurses response	_			
<ul> <li>Evidence that the pre-registration nursing prograted equivalent of minimum programme length for nuclear care in Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (R2)</li> </ul>	rses r			
	<b>_</b> /	ΥE	S⊠	NO 🗌
<ul> <li>Evidence that programmes leading to registratio practice are of suitable length to ensure proficier (R2.13)</li> </ul>				
	∕ES 🏿	$\leq$	NO 🗌	N/A 🗌
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure that programmes leading to nursing registration and registration in another profession, will be of suitable length and nursing proficiencies and outcomes will be achieved in a nursing context (R2.14)</li> <li>YES  NO  N/A </li> </ul>				
This programme doesn't lead to registration in another	profe	ssio	n.	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards fram midwifery education relevant to curricula are met				
			S 🖂	NO 📙
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for sassessment</u> relevant to curricula and assessment are	met			
Outcome		YES	<u> </u>	NO 🗌
	MET [		NOT	MET _
Date: 23 October 2020				
Post event review				
Identify how the condition(s) is met:				
N/A				
Date condition(s) met:				
N/A				
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET [		NOT	MET 🗌





N/A

#### **Standard 3: Practice learning**

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing R3.2 ensure that students experience the variety of practice expected of registered nurses to meet the holistic needs of people of all ages

R3.3 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing R3.4 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment and preregistration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice comply with Article 31(5) of Directive 2005/36/EC (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

R3.5 take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities

R3.6 ensure students experience the range of hours expected of registered nurses, and

R3.7 ensure that students are supernumerary.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically: R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.9, R2.11; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically: R1.1 – R1.11

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

• Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* to deliver safe and effective care, to a diverse range of people, across the four fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.1)





**MET** ⊠

NOT MET

R3.1 is met. Documentary evidence and the approval visit provide assurance that students develop the skills to deliver safe and effective care to a diverse range of people across the fields of nursing practice. Students have a range of practice learning experiences appropriate to each field of nursing practice. Placement plans evidence delivery of practice learning experience across the four fields of nursing practice. The programme team and PLPs tell us that there's sufficient capacity across practice learning experiences to enable students to deliver safe and effective care across the four fields of nursing practice. PLPs confirm relevant policies and educational audit enables students to practise clinical skills safely and effectively in practice learning environments. PLPs tell us that they work collaboratively across NHS, private, voluntary and independent organisations to ensure sufficient opportunities for students to practise the core nursing skills outlined in annexes A and B of the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018)

Students tell us that they're supported in practice learning environments and there's sufficient opportunity to develop and meet proficiencies in their fields of nursing practice. They confirm that they have exposure to all the fields of nursing. Students confirm their supernumerary status.

R3.2 is met. Unchanged from original approval on 12 February 2020.

• Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to meet the communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures, as set out in the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, within their selected fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R3.3)

MET ⋈ NOT MET □

R3.3 is met. The programme handbook, module specifications and the PAD demonstrate that the principles of communication and relationship management skills are introduced and developed across the proposed route.

Students tell us that they develop communication and relationship management skills throughout the programme relevant to their field of practice. The PAD is mapped to the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (NMC, 2018); the platforms and nursing procedures are recorded and assessed in the PAD. Communication and relationship management skills and the nursing procedures are recorded in the PAD.





<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment and pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice comply with Article 31(5) of Directive 2005/36/EC (R3.4)</li> </ul>			
	MET 🖂	NOT MET	
R3.4 is met. Unchanged from original approval on 12 F	ebruary 2	020.	
<ul> <li>There are processes in place to take account of and personal circumstances when allocating the including making reasonable adjustments for dis</li> </ul>	ir practice	learning	
R3.5 is met. Unchanged from original approval on 12 F	ebruary 2	020.	
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA	approva	l criteria are met:	
<ul> <li>Evidence of how programme is planned to allow the range of hours expected of registered nurses days, night shifts planned examples) (R3.6)</li> </ul>			
,g p	YES	S⊠ NO□	
Processes are in place to ensure that students a		numerary (R3.7)  NO	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards fram		nursing and	
midwifery education relevant to practice learning are m	et <b>YES</b>	S⊠ NO □	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for s	tudent su <u>p</u>	pervision and	
assessment relevant to practice learning are met	YES	⊠ NO □	
Outcome		_	
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET	
Date: 23 October 2020			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
N/A			
Date condition(s) met:			
N/A			





Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET	NOT MET
N/A		

#### **Standard 4: Supervision and assessment**

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education* 

R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment* 

R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme

R4.4 provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development

R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses* and programme outcomes for their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing R4.6 ensure that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100%

R4.7 ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing

R4.8 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a registered nurse

R4.9 ensure that there is equal weighting in the assessment of theory and practice R4.10 ensure that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills set out in *Standards of proficiency for registered nurses*, and

R4.11 ensure the knowledge and skills for nurses responsible for general care set out in Article 31(6) and the competencies for nurses responsible for general care set out in

Article 31(7) of Directive 2005/36/EC for pre-registration nursing programmes leading to registration in the adult field of practice have been met. (included in Annexe 1 of programme standards document)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically: R2.11; R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17; R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically R4.1 – R4.11





#### Findings against the standards and requirements

eviden	e an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documenta ce AND discussion at the approval visit to dem ed that the QA approval criteria below is met o	nonstrate	if assurance is
:	There is evidence of how the programme will ensu supervision, learning and assessment provided constandards framework for nursing and midwifery ed	mplies wit	th the NMC

R4.1 is met. Unchanged from original approval on 12 February 2020.

• There is evidence of how the *Standards for student supervision and assessment* are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessor along with how they will be prepared for their roles. (R4.2)

R4.2 is met. Unchanged from original approval on 12 February 2020.

#### Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

 There are processes in place to ensure the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse responsible for directing the education programme (R4.3)

YES $\boxtimes$	NO 🗌
-----------------	------

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

 There are processes in place to provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development. Formative and summative assessment strategy is detailed (R4.4)

MET 🖂	NOT MET

R4.4 is met. Programme documentation identifies a range of formative and summative assessments across the proposed route. Students have opportunities to reflect on practice learning; this is integrated across learning, teaching and assessment strategies. Students develop and apply reflective skills in academic and practice learning environments. The programme team tell us that there's the opportunity for students to receive feedback from academic staff in formative and summative assessments. Students confirm they receive feedback from service users and carers in the practice learning environment.





The PAD provides a documentary framework for both formative and summative practice assessments. Students tell us that they receive timely and developmental

written and verbal feedback from the programme to practice supervisors.		•	
<ul> <li>There is appropriate mapping of the curriculum and practice learning placements to ensure throughout the programme that students meet the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses and programme outcomes fo their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R4.5)</li> </ul>			
ormation of harding (i.e.i.o)	MET $\boxtimes$	NOT MET	
R4.5 is met. Documentary evidence of programme experiences meet the Standards of proficiency for rand programme outcomes for all fields of nursing pand PLPs tell us that there are sufficient practice le students experience the fields of nursing practice the	registered nurse ractice. The pro arning opportur	es (NMC, 2018) ogramme team nities to ensure	
The programme team and PLPs tell us that they we practice learning environments support students to and proficiencies. PLPs tell us that policies and prostudents can demonstrate proficiency in all the ann procedures of the Standards of proficiency for regis	achieve progra cedures are ad exe A and anne	mme outcomes apted to ensure exe B	
Evidence provides assurance that the following	QA approval	criteria are met:	
<ul> <li>There is evidence that all programmes included assessment related to nursing associate promedicines which must be passed with a score</li> </ul>	ficiencies and c	calculation of nt (R4.6)	
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to ensure that students meet all communication and relationship management skills and nursing procedures within their fields of nursing practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing (R4.7)</li> </ul>			
naionig (ivi.ir)	YES	⊠ NO □	
<ul> <li>Evidence of processes to assess students to preparation for professional practice as a reg</li> </ul>		R4.8)	
	There is an assessment strategy with details and weighting expressed for all credit bearing assessments. Theory and practice weighting is calculated		
and uctaned in award chilena and programm			





<ul> <li>There is evidence that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills as set out in the Standards of proficiency for registered nurses (R4.10)</li></ul>			
for pre-registration nursing programmes leading field of practice have been met (R4.11)	to registration	on in the adult	
neid of practice flavo been met (ivi.iv)	YES [	⊠ NO □	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards frammidwifery education</u> relevant to supervision and assess			
Individery education relevant to supervision and assess	YES		
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for sassessment</u> are met	tudent supe	ervision and	
	YES	⊠ NO □	
Outcome			
Is the standard met?	MET 🔀	NOT MET	
Date: 23 October 2020			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
N/A			
Date condition(s) met:			
N/A			
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET	
N/A			

#### Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R5.1 ensure that the minimum award for a pre-registration nursing programme is a bachelor's degree, and

R5.2 notify students during and before completion of the programme that they have five years to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake





additional education and training or gain such experience as specified in our

standards.			
Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education, specifically R2.11, R2.20			
Findings against the standards and requirements			
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval crit	teria are met:		
<ul> <li>The pre-registration nursing programme award to be approved is clearly identified in all programme documentation and is a minimum of a bachelor's degree (R5.1)</li> </ul>			
YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
<ul> <li>Documentary evidence that the registered nurse responsible for the educational programme or their designated registered nurse have advised students during and before completion of the reg- register their qualification within five years of the award. (R5.2)</li> <li>YES ∑</li> </ul>	e substitute juirement to		
Fall Back Award If there is a fall-back exit award with registration as a nurse all NMC s proficiencies are met within the award  YES  NO			
The BSc (Hons) route for both fields has a fall back exit award with eligibility to register in a single field of practice as a child or mental health nurse with the NMC at BSc (Hons) or BSc. The MSc route for both fields has a fall back exit award with eligibility to register in a single field of practice as child or mental health nurse at MSc or BSc (Hons). The exit awards with NMC registration were approved on 12 February 2020 and therefore meet NMC standards and proficiencies in the fields of practice being awarded.			
Assurance is provided that the Standards framework for nursing and	<u>midwifery</u>		
$\underline{\mathit{education}}$ relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met YES $\boxtimes$	NO 🗌		
Outcome			
Is the standard met? MET 🖂 N	IOT MET 🗌		
Date: 23 October 2020			
Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
N/A			
Date condition(s) met:			



M	
мотт	M
	ΝΔΙΟ

		MACDONALD
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		





#### Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and	$\boxtimes$	
consultation		
Programme specification(s) include fields of nursing	$\boxtimes$	
practice: adult, mental health, learning disabilities and		
children's nursing		
Module descriptors	$\boxtimes$	
Student facing documentation including: programme	$\bowtie$	
handbook		
Student university handbook		
Practice assessment documentation		
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)		
Practice learning environment handbook		
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and	$\boxtimes$	
assessors specific to the programme	5-2	
Academic assessor focused information specific to the	$\boxtimes$	
programme	5 7	
Placement allocation / structure of programme		
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped	$\boxtimes$	
against Standards of proficiency for registered nurses		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\boxtimes$	
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) (Gateway		
1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\bowtie$	
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC,		
2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\bowtie$	
education institution has met the Standards for pre-		
registration nursing programmes (NMC, 2018) (Gateway		
3)		
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff	$\boxtimes$	
CV of the registered nurse responsible for directing the		
education programme		
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC	$\square$	
website		
External examiner appointments and arrangements	$\boxtimes$	
Written placement agreement(s) between the education	$\boxtimes$	
institution and associated practice learning partners to		
support the programme intentions, including a signed		
supernumerary agreement.		



None identified.

Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.

M	
мотт	M
***	NALD

Written agreement(s) to support the programme intentions between the education institution and employer partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	gation:	
List additional documentation: Post visit documentation: UoD, revised programme specification, undated		
Additional comments: None identified.		
During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups	:	
	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme		
Senior managers from associated employer partners with responsibility for resources for the programme (applicable for apprenticeship routes)		
Programme team/academic assessors	$\square$	
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors		
Students		
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study: Year two, BSc (Hons) mental health nursing, x one Year three, BSc mental health nursing, x one Year three, BSc (Hons) adult nursing, x one Graduate, child nursing, x one		
Service users and carers		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: There's no change to how service users and carers will be engaged in the programme. No apprenticeship route is being considered at this major modification.		
Additional comments:		

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:





	YES	NO	
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)		$\boxtimes$	
Library facilities			
Technology enhanced learning/virtual learning environment			
Educational audit tools/documentation		$\boxtimes$	
Practice learning environments		$\boxtimes$	
If yes, state where visited/findings:			
System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning partners			
If yes, system regulator reports list			
Heath Improvement Scotland (HIS), quality report, Boarders General Hospital, NHS Boarders, January 2019			
HIS, quality report, Highland Hospice Inverness, Highland Hospice, June 2019			
HIS, quality report, NHS Tayside, Ninewell's Hospital, January 2018			
HIS, quality report, NHS Highland, Raigmore Hospital, June 2018			
HIS, quality report, NHS Tayside, Stracathro Hospital, April 2020			
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:			
This is an established AEI and visits to facilities weren't needed.			
Additional comments:			
None identified.			

#### **Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer**

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record			
Final Report			
Author(s):	Ronnie Meechan	Date:	05 November 2020
Checked by:	Bernadette Martin	Date:	09 November 2020
Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	12 January 2021
Approved by:	Emiko Hughes	Date:	12 January 2021