

Programme Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	BPP University
Programme reviewed: <i>This is the NMC programme title(s)</i>	Pre-registration nursing associate <input type="checkbox"/> Nursing associate apprenticeship <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
AEI programme title(s):	
Current AEI programme title(s): <i>Please include all currently approved programme titles</i>	Foundation degree nursing associate (24 months – self-funded) Foundation degree nursing associate (18 months – self-funded) Foundation degree nursing associate (apprenticeship route)
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A</i>	N/A
Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A</i>	Foundation Degree Nursing Associate [apprenticeship route 18 months]
Academic level of current programme:	
Pre-registration nursing associate	England <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Nursing associate apprenticeship	England

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Academic levels of modified/additional programme(s)/route(s):	
Pre-registration nursing associate	England <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Nursing associate apprenticeship	England <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Programme approval dates:	
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: <i>This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.</i>	20 August 2019
Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	6 January 2021
Programme start dates:	
Current modification programme start date:	
Pre-registration nursing associate	<input type="text"/>
Nursing associate apprenticeship	10 October 2022
Date of modification:	28 June 2022
Type of modification:	Desktop
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: David Clarke

Section two

Summary of review and findings	
<p>BPP University (BPP) is an approved education institution (AEI). The school of health present a major modification to the approved full-time two-year foundation degree nursing associate apprenticeship route. The programme was approved by the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) on 20 August 2019. A modification to add a full-time two-year direct entry self-funding route and a full-time 18-month route was approved by the NMC on 6 January 2021.</p> <p>The major modification is to approve an 18-month full-time foundation degree nursing associate apprenticeship route. The proposed route will be delivered in addition to the approved full-time two-year foundation degree nursing associate apprenticeship route. It's been developed in response to the employer partner (EP) request to have the option of two apprenticeship routes. There's confirmation from EPs from Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Hertfordshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust and North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust that they've requested and will support the proposed route. They are EPs who support apprentices on the two-year apprenticeship programme. Signed EP statements of commitment are in place to support the 18-month nursing associate route.</p> <p>Students undertaking the proposed route will be supernumerary in practice; there won't be any work-based hours. There are no changes to the approved apprenticeship programme learning outcomes, modules and assessments</p> <p>The proposed route is mapped against the Standards for pre-registration nursing associate programmes (SPNAP) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards of proficiency for nursing associates (SPNA) (NMC, 2018).</p> <p>The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) are met at programme level.</p> <p>The modification is recommended to the NMC for approval.</p>	

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met <input type="checkbox"/></p>

	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/>
Conditions:	<p>Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources: None identified.</p> <p>Selection, admission and progression: None identified.</p> <p>Practice learning: None identified.</p> <p>Assessment, fitness for practice and award: None identified.</p> <p>Education governance: management and quality assurance: None identified.</p>
Date condition(s) to be met:	N/A
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	None identified.
Focused areas for future monitoring:	None identified.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met	
Commentary post review of evidence against conditions	
N/A	
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

<p>Summary of observations made, if applicable</p>	<p>The correct title for the programme is: Foundation Degree Nursing Associate [apprenticeship route 18 months], The start date is confirmed as 10 October 2022. Page 13 states the learners undertake 34 weeks per year; this should be 34 weeks per level as this is an 18-month programme.</p> <p>The report has been amended accordingly.</p>
<p>Final recommendation made to NMC:</p>	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Date condition(s) met:</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Section three

<p>NMC Programme standards</p>
<p>Please refer to NMC standards reference points Standards for pre-registration nursing associate programmes (NMC, 2018) Standards of proficiency for nursing associates (NMC, 2018) Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018) The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018) Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020) QA Handbook (NMC, 2020)</p>

<p>Partnerships</p>
<p>The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.</p>
<p>Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:</p> <p>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)</p> <p>Standard 1: The learning culture: R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with service users and other stakeholders R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working</p> <p>Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:</p>

R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning

R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

[Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence confirms effective partnership working between BPP and EPs. Signed EP commitments confirm support for the 18-month apprenticeship route.

Documentary evidence and the designated programme leader who has oversight of the programme confirms that there's sufficient resources to support the academic and practice learning delivery of the route. Evidence of a meeting on 28 June 2022 with senior staff from BPP, including the head of school and programme leader, confirms that BPP have worked closely with EPs to co-produce the 18-month route. It's clear that EPs understand that employees are studying as full-time students. There's assurance that there are resources to deliver the 18-month route both at BPP and in practice learning environments. There's sufficient practice supervisors and practice assessors to support apprentices. Documentary

evidence confirms that EPs engage with BPP regularly at strategic and operational levels.

Documentary evidence confirms that a service user (SU) group is in place. SUs will continue to be involved in the programme and in the student recruitment and selection processes.

Student facing documentation confirms that students are able to raise concerns and that resources are available to support students who have any concerns in both theory and practice learning.

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#)

MET NOT MET

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

MET NOT MET

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET NOT MET

N/A

Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R1.1 Confirm on entry to the programme that students:

R1.1.1 demonstrate values in accordance with the Code

R1.1.2 have capability to learn behaviours in accordance with the Code

R1.1.3 have capability to develop numeracy skills required to meet programme outcomes

R1.1.4 can demonstrate proficiency in English language

- R1.1.5 have capability in literacy to meet programme outcomes
- R1.1.6 have capability for digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes
- R1.2 ensure students' health and character allows for safe and effective practice on entering the programme, throughout the programme and when submitting the supporting declaration of health and good character in line with the NMC's health and character decision-making guidance. This includes satisfactory occupational health assessment and criminal record checks.
- R1.3 ensure students are fully informed of the requirement to declare immediately any cautions or convictions, pending charges or adverse determinations made by other regulators, professional bodies and educational establishments and that any declarations are dealt with promptly, fairly and lawfully.
- R1.4 ensure that the registered nurse or registered nursing associate responsible for directing the educational programme or their designated registered nurse substitute or designated registered nursing associate substitute, are able to provide supporting declarations of health and character for students who have completed a pre-registration nursing associate programme.
- R1.5 permit recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates* and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme. This maximum limit of 50 percent does not apply to applicants to pre-registration nursing associate programmes who are currently a NMC registered nurse without restrictions on their practice, and
- R1.6 provide support where required to students throughout the programme in continuously developing their abilities in numeracy, literacy, digital and literacy to meet programme outcomes.

[Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) specifically: R2.6, R2.7, R2.8, R2.10

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence of selection processes, including statements on digital literacy, literacy, numeracy, values-based selection criteria and capability to learn behaviour according to the Code, educational entry standard required, and progression and assessment strategy, English language proficiency criteria is specified in recruitment processes. Service users and practitioners are involved in selection processes. (R1.1.1 – R1.1.6)

YES NO

- There is evidence of occupational health entry criteria, inoculation and immunisation plans, fitness for nursing assessments, Criminal record checks and fitness for practice processes are detailed. (R1.2)

YES NO

- Health and character processes are evidenced including information given to applicants and students including details of periodic health and character review timescales. Fitness for practice processes are evidenced and information given to applicants and students are detailed. (R1.3)

YES NO

- Processes are in place for providing supporting declarations by a registered nurse or registered nursing associate responsible for directing the educational programme (R1.4)

YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- There is evidence of recognition of prior learning processes that are capable of being mapped to the Standards of proficiency for nursing associates and programme outcomes, up to a maximum of 50 percent of the programme. This maximum limit of 50 percent does not apply to applicants to pre-registration nursing associate programmes who are currently a NMC registered nurse without restrictions on their practice. (R1.5)

MET NOT MET

R1.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- Numeracy, literacy, digital and technological literacy are mapped against proficiency standards and programme outcomes. Provide evidence that the programme meets NMC requirements, mapping how the indicative content meets the proficiencies and programme outcomes. Ongoing achievement record (OAR)/PAD linked to competence outcomes in literacy, digital and technological literacy to meet programme outcomes. (R1.6)

MET NOT MET

R1.6 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to selection, admission and progression are met

YES NO

Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 28 June 2022		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A		

Standard 2: Curriculum
<p>Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:</p> <p>R2.1 ensure that programmes comply with the <i>NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i></p> <p>R2.2 comply with the <i>NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment</i></p> <p>R2.3 ensure that all programme learning outcomes reflect the <i>Standards of proficiency for nursing associates</i>.</p> <p>R2.4 design and deliver a programme that supports students and provides an appropriate breadth of experience for a non-field specific nursing associate programme, across the lifespan and in a variety of settings</p> <p>R2.5 set out the general and professional content necessary to meet the <i>Standards of proficiency for nursing associates</i> and programme outcomes</p> <p>R2.6 ensure that the programme hours and programme length are:</p> <p>2.6.1 sufficient to allow the students to be able to meet the <i>Standards of proficiency for nursing associates</i>,</p> <p>2.6.2 no less than 50 percent of the minimum programme hours required of nursing degree programmes, currently set under Article 31(3) of Directive 2005/36/EC (4,600 hours)</p> <p>2.6.3 consonant with the award of a foundation degree (typically 2 years)</p> <p>R2.7 ensure the curriculum provides an equal balance of theory and practice learning using a range of learning and teaching strategies, and</p> <p>R2.8 ensure nursing associate programmes which form part of an integrated programme meet the nursing associate requirements and nursing associate proficiencies.</p> <p><i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> specifically: R1.9, R1.13; R2.2, R2.14, R2.15, R2.18, R2.19; R3.1, R3.2, R3.4, R3.7, R3.9, R3.10, R3.15, R 3.16; R5.1 - R5.16.</p>

Standards for student supervision and assessment specifically:
R1.2, R1.3, R1.7, R1.10, R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education* (R2.1)
YES NO
- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment* (R2.2)
YES NO
- Mapping has been undertaken to show how the curriculum and practice learning content meets the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates* and programme outcomes. (R2.3)
YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- There is evidence to show how the design and delivery of the programme will support students in both theory and practice to experience a non-field specific nursing associate programme, across the lifespan and in a variety of settings. (R2.4)
MET NOT MET

R2.4 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that mapping has been undertaken to show how the programme outcomes, module outcomes and content meets the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates* and programme outcomes. (R2.5)
YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- There is evidence that:
 - the programme meets NMC requirements on programme hours and programme length;

- programmed learning is sufficient to allow the students to be able to meet the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates*. (R2.6)

MET **NOT MET**

R2.6 is met. Documentary evidence confirms the length of the programme and that the required hours for both theory and practice learning meet NMC requirements. The student handbook includes a planner that details how programme hours are achieved; module descriptors detail theory and practice learning hours. Students will complete 637.5 hours of study and 637.5 practice learning over a 34-week period per academic level, with each week comprising of 37.5 hours.

Students have an online timetable at the start of each module outlining the theory and practice hours. Theory and practice attendance is recorded on a tracking sheet that's monitored by the programme team to ensure the required hours are met.

- The programme structure demonstrates an equal balance of theory and practice learning. This is detailed in the designated hours in the module descriptors and practice learning allocations. A range of learning and teaching strategies are detailed in the programme specification, programme handbook and module descriptors with theory / practice balance detailed at each part of the programme and at the end point. There are appropriate module aims, descriptors and outcomes specified. There is a practice allocation model for the delivery of the programme that clearly demonstrates the achievement of designated hours for the programme detailed. (R2.7)

MET **NOT MET**

R2.7 is met. Documentary evidence demonstrates that there's an equal balance of theory and practice learning. This is detailed in module descriptors, practice learning allocations and the student handbook. There are no changes to the approved apprenticeship programme learning and teaching strategies, module descriptors and practice allocation model.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that programmes leading to nursing associate registration and registration in another profession, will be of suitable length and nursing associate proficiencies and outcomes will be achieved in a nursing associate context. (R2.8)

YES **NO**

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to curricula and assessment are met

YES **NO**

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to curricula are met		YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Outcome			
Is the standard met?		MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 28 June 2022			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met:			
N/A			
Date condition(s) met:			
N/A			
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:		MET <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A			

Standard 3: Practice learning
<p>Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:</p> <p>R3.1 provide practice learning opportunities that allow students to develop and meet the <i>Standards of proficiency for nursing associates</i> to deliver safe and effective care, to a diverse range of people, across the lifespan and in a variety of settings</p> <p>R3.2 ensure that students experience the variety of practice expected of nursing associates to meet the holistic needs of people of all ages</p> <p>R3.3 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment</p> <p>R3.4 take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for students with disabilities, and</p> <p>R3.5 ensure that nursing associate students have protected learning time in line with one of these two options:</p> <p>R3.5.1 Option A: nursing associate students are supernumerary when they are learning in practice</p> <p>R3.5.2 Option B: nursing associate students who are on work-placed learning routes:</p> <p>R3.5.2.1 are released for at least 20 percent of the programme for academic study</p> <p>R3.5.2.2 are released for at least 20 percent of the programme time, which is assured protected learning time in external practice placements, enabling them to develop the breadth of experience required for a generic role, and</p>

R3.5.2.3 protected learning time must be assured for the remainder of the required programme hours.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically:
R1.1, R1.3, R1.5; R2.9, R2.14; R3.3, R3.5, R 3.7, R3.16; R5.1, R5.7, R5.10, R5.12

Standards for student supervision and assessment, specifically:
R1.1 – R1.11

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met.

- Evidence that the practice learning opportunities allow students to develop and meet the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates* to deliver safe and effective care, to a diverse range of people, across the lifespan and in a variety of settings. (R3.1)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There is evidence of how the programme will ensure students experience the variety of practice learning experiences to meet the holistic needs of people in all ages. There are appropriate processes for assessing, monitoring and evaluating these practice experiences. (R3.2)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.2 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There is evidence of plans for effective and proportionate use of technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities and to support learning and assessment in the curriculum (R3.3)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There are processes in place to take account of students' individual needs and personal circumstances when allocating their practice learning including making reasonable adjustments for disabilities. (R3.4)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.4 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- Evidence that nursing associate students have protected learning time through one of the two options (A or B). There must be clarity of evidence to support the single option selected.
Processes are in place to ensure that protected learning time will be monitored in accordance with the selected option.
Evidence that students will be released for a minimum of 20 percent of the programme for academic study.
Evidence that students will be released for a minimum of 20 percent of the programme time, which is assured protected learning time in external practice placements, enabling them to develop the breadth of experience required for a generic role.
Evidence that information is provided to students and practice learning partners on protected learning time/supernumerary status and the selected single option. (R3.5)

MET NOT MET

R3.5 is met. There are 2,550 programme hours with 1,275 theory hours and 1,275 supernumerary practice hours. Students undertake option B; protected time and supernumerary status are clearly detailed in the programme planner. This also clearly details when students undertake theory and practice hours. This has been shared and agreed with EPs. Students experience learning opportunities across a wide range of practice learning environments including hospitals, community areas, social care, domiciliary and nursing home settings.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to practice learning are met

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) relevant to practice learning are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

Date: 28 June 2022

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

N/A

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*
- R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment*
- R4.3 ensure they inform the NMC of the name of the registered nurse or registered nursing associate responsible for directing the education programme
- R4.4 provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development
- R4.5 ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates*
- R4.6 ensure that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing associate proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100 percent
- R4.7 assess students to confirm proficiency in preparation for professional practice as a nursing associate
- R4.8 ensure that there is equal weighting in the assessment of theory and practice, and
- R4.9 ensure that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills as set out in *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates*.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically:

R2.11; R3.5, R3.6, R 3.8, R3.11, R3.13, R3.14, R3.17;
R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.8, R4.11; R5.9

Standards for student supervision and assessment

R4.1 – R4.11

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*. (R4.1)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There is evidence of how the *Standards for student supervision and assessment* are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessor along with how they will be prepared for their roles. (R4.2)

MET NOT MET

R4.2 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There are processes in place to ensure the NMC is informed of the name of the registered nurse or registered nursing associate responsible for directing the education programme. (R4.3)

YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- There are processes in place to provide students with feedback throughout the programme to support their development. Formative and summative assessment strategy is detailed (R4.4)

MET NOT MET

R4.4 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There is appropriate mapping of the curriculum and practice learning placements to ensure throughout the programme that students meet the *Standards of proficiency for nursing associates*. (R4.5)

MET NOT MET

R4.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that all programmes include a health numeracy assessment related to nursing associate proficiencies and calculation of medicines which must be passed with a score of 100 percent (R4.6)

YES NO

- There is an appropriate assessment strategy and process detailed. (R4.7)

YES NO

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is an assessment strategy with details of the weighting for all credit bearing assessments. Theory and practice weighting is calculated and detailed in award criteria and programme handbooks. (R4.8) 	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is evidence that all proficiencies are recorded in an ongoing record of achievement which must demonstrate the achievement of proficiencies and skills as set out in the <i>Standards of proficiency for nursing associates</i>. (R4.9) 	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to supervision and assessment are met</p>	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment are met</p>	<p>YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>
Outcome	
<p>Is the standard met?</p>	<p>MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Date: 28 June 2022</p>	
Post event review	
<p>Identify how the condition(s) is met:</p> <p>N/A</p>	
<p>Date condition(s) met:</p> <p>N/A</p>	
<p>Revised outcome after condition(s) met:</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>MET <input type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/></p>

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R5.1 ensure that the minimum award for a nursing associate programme is a Foundation Degree of the Regulated Qualifications Framework (England), which is typically two years in length, and
- R5.2 notify students during the programme that they have five years in which to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake additional

education and training or gain such experience as is specified in our standards in order to register their award.

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education specifically R2.11, R2.20

Findings against the standards and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- The minimum award for a nursing associate programme is a Foundation Degree of the Regulated Qualifications Framework (England) (R5.1)
YES NO
- Evidence that students are notified during the programme that they have five years in which to register their award with the NMC. In the event of a student failing to register their qualification within five years they will have to undertake additional education and training or gain such experience as is specified in our standards in order to register their award. (R5.2)
YES NO

Fall Back Award

If there is a fall back exit award with registration as a nursing associate all NMC standards and proficiencies are met within the award

YES NO N/A

There's no fall back exit award that leads to NMC registration as a nursing associate.

Assurance is provided that the [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

Date: 28 June 2022

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET

N/A

Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme documentation includes collaboration and communication arrangements with HE/FE partner if relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme specification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Module descriptors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student facing documentation including: programme handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student university handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student facing documentation includes HE/FE college information for students, if relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice assessment documentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ongoing record of achievement (ORA)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning environment handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning handbook for practice supervisors and assessors specific to the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Academic assessor focused information specific to the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Placement allocation / structure of programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against standards of proficiency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the <i>Standards for student supervision and assessment</i> (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme. (Gateway 2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards for pre-registration nursing associate programmes</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curricula vitae (CV) for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CV of the registered nurse or nursing associate responsible for directing the education programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Registrant academic staff details checked on NMC website	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

External examiner appointments and arrangements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Written placement agreements between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Written agreement(s) to support the programme intentions between the education institution and employer partners for apprenticeship routes (if applicable).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: The apprenticeship route only is being modified.		
List additional documentation: None identified.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HE/FE college senior managers, if relevant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senior managers from associated employer partners with responsibility for resources for the programme (applicable for apprenticeship routes)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Programme team/academic assessors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Practice leads/practice supervisors/practice assessors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:		
Service users and carers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no to any of the above, please provide the reason and mitigation The major modification is conducted as a desktop modification.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

	YES	NO
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Library facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Technology enhanced learning / virtual learning environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Educational audit tools/documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning environments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If practice learning environments are visited, state where visited/findings:		
System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning partners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>System regulator reports list:</p> <p>Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspection report, Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, 19 February 2020</p> <p>CQC inspection report, Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, 7 April 2020</p> <p>CQC inspection report, Kettering General Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, 6 May 2022</p> <p>CQC inspection report, Norfolk and Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, 28 April 2022</p> <p>CQC inspection report, Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust, 21 February 2020</p> <p>CQC inspection report, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (Tooting), 18 December 2019</p> <p>CQC inspection report, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, 18 December 2019</p>		
If you stated no to any of the above, please provide the reason and mitigation: The major modification is conducted as a desktop modification.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record

Final Report

Author(s):	David Clarke	Date:	7 July 2022
Checked by:	Bernadette Martin	Date:	12 July 2022
Submitted by:	Amy Young	Date:	8 August 2022
Approved by:	Colleen Regan	Date:	11 August 2022