

Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	University of Hull
In partnership with: <i>(Associated practice learning partners involved in the delivery of the programme)</i>	Humber NHS Foundation Trust North East Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust York Teaching Hospital NHS Trust Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust Education and social care providers
Programme(s) reviewed:	
<p>Programme: Community Practitioner Nurse Prescribing V100 Title of programme: Community Practitioner Nurse prescribing (V100) Title of modified programme, if changed: N/A Programme start date: 21 September 2020</p> <p>Academic level(s): England, Wales, Northern Ireland: Level 6 Level 7 Academic level of modified programme, if changed: N/A</p> <p>Programme: Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150 Title of programme: Community Practitioner Nurse prescribing (V150) Title of modified programme, if changed: N/A Programme start date: 1 February 2021</p> <p>Academic level(s): England, Wales, Northern Ireland: Level 6 Level 7 Academic level of modified programme, if changed: N/A</p>	
Date of Modification	16 April 2020
Type of Modification	Desktop
QA visitor(s):	Registrant Visitor: Bernadette Martin

Section two

Summary of review and findings

The University of Hull (UoH) is an established approved education institution (AEI). UoH faculty of health sciences (the faculty) present the community practitioner nurse prescribing V100 and V150 preparation programmes for NMC approval. The independent and supplementary prescribing (V300) programme is in approval since 09 December 2019. The proposed major modification by documentary review is to approve the V100 and V150 awards ensuring they meet the NMC Standards for prescribing programmes (SPP) (NMC, 2018) and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS), 2016) competency framework for all prescribers) (NMC, 2018).

The programmes are mapped against the SPP and RPS. The V100 award at academic level six and seven is a mandatory integrated element of the BSc and postgraduate diploma in community care district nursing programme (SPQ DN). It's an option module for health visiting students undertaking the BSc and postgraduate diploma in community care specialist community public health nursing (SCPHN) programme. It's a 20-credit module in each programme. The V150 award at academic level six and seven is a 20-credit standalone module. It is accessed by community staff nurses working in district nursing teams. The modules are delivered jointly over 10 days with 10 days protected practice learning and undertaken in semester two of the SPQ DN and SCPHN programmes

Arrangements at programme level meet the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME).

Arrangements at programme level meet the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA).

The major modification to the programme is recommended for approval. One recommendation is made.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel

Recommended outcome to the NMC:

Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval

Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:

<p>Conditions:</p> <p><i>Please identify the standard and requirement the condition relates to under the relevant key risk theme.</i></p> <p><i>Please state if the condition is AEI/education institution in nature or specific to NMC standards.</i></p>	<p>None identified</p> <p>Selection, admission and progression:</p> <p>None identified</p> <p>Practice learning:</p> <p>None identified</p> <p>Assessment, fitness for practice and award:</p> <p>None identified</p> <p>Education governance: management and quality assurance:</p> <p>None identified</p>
<p>Date condition(s) to be met:</p>	
<p>Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:</p>	<p>Recommendation one: The programme teams are advised to review practice assessor and practice supervisor engagement with the recorded preparation workshop. (SFNME R2.4; SSSA R5.1, R8.1; SPP R4.2)</p>
<p>Focused areas for future monitoring:</p>	<p>Practice assessor and practice supervisor engagement with the recorded preparation workshop.</p>

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met	
Commentary post review of evidence against conditions:	
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution No
Summary of observations made, if applicable	
Final recommendation made to NMC:	
Date condition(s) met:	

Section three

NMC Programme standards
<p>Please refer to NMC standards reference points</p> <p><u><i>Standards for prescribing programmes (NMC, 2018)</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers) (NMC, 2018)</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)</i></u></p> <p><u><i>The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses and midwives (NMC, 2015)</i></u></p> <p><u><i>QA framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2018)</i></u></p>

QA Handbook (October 2018)

Partnerships

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with service users and other stakeholders

R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning

R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the

quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders based on QA visitor (s) documentary analysis and discussions at the approval visit, taking into consideration the QA approval criteria

Documentary evidence and discussion with the programme teams confirm there's effective partnership working between UoH and key stakeholders. The programme teams present evidence of consultation with service users and carers, students, and practice learning partners (PLPs).

There's evidence of student engagement in the review of the programmes. The faculty require student representation at programme management and advisory group (PMG) meetings. The SPQ DN and SCPHN PMG meeting agenda includes V100 and V150 prescribing as a standing item. The programme teams confirm V150 students are included in the process due to the shared learning approach. Student feedback from meetings inform future programme development. Documentary evidence confirms students provide feedback on learning experiences through programme evaluation. The programme teams are responsible for ensuring the outcome of any actions required in response to student feedback are implemented, reviewed, and monitored through university quality assurance processes. Practice learning is evaluated by students with the pebblepad e-portfolio detailing communication process between the practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor to identify student concerns. Student facing documentation ensures they're advised about and have access to, the procedure for raising concerns.

Minutes from PMG meetings confirm PLP engagement in the development of both programmes. There's evidence PLPs work with programme teams to review the ongoing management of the programmes in the academic and practice learning environments. Programme teams describe how meetings are representative of prescribing PLPs. Attendance by organisational non-medical prescribing (NMP) leads and practising V100 and V150 community practitioner nurse prescribers provide evidence of effective partnerships.

Service user and carer involvement is evident in theory and practice learning. Service users and carers provide feedback in a specific section of the pebblepad e-portfolio. Students must provide written reflection on feedback and discuss this with their practice assessor. Programme handbooks detail specific inclusion of service user and carer perspectives on prescribing and medication use. There's

documentary evidence of service user and carer direct involvement in the development of programmes. Service users and carers are not directly involved in the recruitment of students to the prescribing programmes. The V100 programme team confirm service user and carer questions are used for the SPQ DN and SCPHN interviews.

The programme team are suitability qualified to deliver the programme. They are registered with the General Pharmaceutical Council (GPhc) and the NMC with SPQ DN, V300 prescribing and NMC teacher qualifications. The programme leaders are actively engaged in national prescribing and SPQ DN networks.

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education

Met

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment

Met

If not met, state reason

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

Student journey through the programme

Standard 1 Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R1.1 ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme

R1.2 provide opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non- NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme

R1.3 confirm that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme

R1.4 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers

R1.5 confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme

R1.6 confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas:

R1.6.1 Clinical/health assessment

R1.6.2 Diagnostics/care management

R1.6.3 Planning and evaluation of care

R1.7 ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme

Note: Education institutions and their practice learning partners may propose to transfer current students onto the new programme to meet the

Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers). If so, evidence must be provided to support this proposed transfer as part of the education institution's mapping process at Gateway 3.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met

Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme (R1.1)

Yes

Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non-NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme. Evidence of this statement in documentation such as: programme specification; module descriptor, marketing material. Evidence of this statement on university web pages (R1.2)

Yes

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

Evidence that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme (R1.3)

Met

R1.3 is met. Documentary evidence confirms governance structures are in place. Applicants to the SPQ DN and SCPHN programmes are interviewed by their employer organisations and a member of the programme team.

All applicants must evidence they meet the entry requirements for V100 and V150 prescribing in line with the approved application process. The V150 application form requires employers to confirm there's support to undertake the programme. They must verify practice learning is protected and there's access to a practising

community practitioner nurse prescribing practice assessor. Applicants to both programmes require a disclosure and barring service (DBS) check. The employer confirms candidates can demonstrate numeracy skills and detail how this is demonstrated. The practice learning environment must have a suitable educational audit in place to ensure practice learning is supported. There's a checklist process which candidates to both programmes must complete. This includes evidence that they have discussed the relevance of prescribing to their role with their organisational NMP lead and how the qualification will be used.

Programme teams provide a pre-course briefing at the end of semester one; eight weeks before the programmes commence. All V100 SPQ DN and SCPHN students and V150 candidates attend the briefing session which provides an overview of the programmes. Students undertake a maths screening test which enables programme teams to assess numeracy skills and if required, direct students to further maths study skills support. V100 students are directly referred for additional maths support if they score below five out of the eight set questions. V150 candidates who achieve this level in the test can access this support however they're advised to discuss their suitability for the programme with their organisational NMP leads. The programme teams describe the partnership approach and commitment of PLP NMP leads to support potential students to develop numeracy skills in preparation for undertaking the programmes.

Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers (R1.4)

Yes

Processes are in place to confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme (R1.5)

Yes

Processes are in place to confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas (R1.6):

- Clinical/health assessment**
- Diagnostics/care management**
- Planning and evaluation**

Yes

Processes are in place to ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme (R1.7)

Yes

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers) will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme

The programme teams confirm no students are transferring to the proposed programmes. All students have completed the current programmes.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to selection, admission and progression are met

Yes

Outcome

Is the standard met?

Met

Date: 16 April 2020

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

N/A

Standard 2 Curriculum

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education

R2.2 ensure that all prescribing programmes are designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS A Competency Framework for all Prescribers, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice

R2.3 state the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies

R2.4 develop programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice:

R2.4.1 stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

R2.4.2 stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

R2.4.3 confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing

R2.5 ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning, using a range of learning and teaching strategies

R2.6 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met

There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1)

Yes

There is evidence that the programme is designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice (R2.2).

Yes

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

Evidence of the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies (R2.3)

Met

R2.3 is met. Unchanged since original approval on **09 December 2019**.

Evidence of programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice (R2.4):

-stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

-stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

-confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing

Yes

The programme structure demonstrates an equal balance of theory and

practice learning. This is detailed in the designated hours in the module descriptors and practice learning allocations. A range of learning and teaching strategies are detailed in the programme specification, programme handbook and module descriptors with theory / practice balance detailed at each part of the programme and at end point. There are appropriate module aims, descriptors and outcomes specified. (R2.5)

Yes

If relevant to the review: Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language. (R2.6)

N/A

The programme is only delivered in England.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to curricula and assessment are met

Yes

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to curricula and assessment are met

Yes

Outcome

Is the standard met?

Met

Date: 16 April 2020

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

N/A

Standard 3 Practice learning

Approved education institutions must:

R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R3.2 ensure that practice learning complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment

R3.3 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment

R3.4 ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed (R3.1).

Met

R3.1 is met. Unchanged since original approval on **09 December 2019**.

There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R3.2)

Yes

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment (R3.3).

Met

R3.3 is met. Unchanged since original approval on **09 December 2019**.

Processes are in place to ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment. (R3.4)

Yes

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to practice learning are met

Yes

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to practice learning are met

Yes

Outcome

Is the standard met?

Met

Date: 16 April 2020

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

N/A

Standard 4 Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education

R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment

R4.3 appoint a programme leader in accordance with the requirements of the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education. The programme leader of aprescribing programme may be any registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience

R4.4 ensure the programme leader works in conjunction with the lead midwife for education (LME) and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes

R4.5 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking

R4.5.1 In exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for that part of the programme where the prescribing student is undergoing training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and assessor roles to

be carried out by the same person

R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking

R4.7 provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes

R4.8 assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice

R4.9 ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies. This includes all students:

R4.9.1 successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80%), and

R4.9.2 successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100%).

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met

There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education. (R4.1)

Met

R4.1 is met. Unchanged since original approval on **09 December 2019**.

There is evidence of how the Standards for student supervision and assessment are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessors along with how they will be prepared for their roles. (R4.2)

Met

R4.2 is met. The application process for both programmes confirms there must be an identified practice assessor who is an active community practitioner nurse prescriber. Practice supervisors must be prescribers. Programme documentation identifies how practice assessors and practice supervisors are prepared for their roles. They attend a preparation workshop; the programme teams describe how they introduce the pebblepad e-portfolio and provide practice assessor and

practice supervisor handbooks. The workshop presentation is recorded and included in the pebblepad e-portfolio. Practice supervisors and practice assessors must declare they have reviewed the presentation. Practice assessors and practice supervisors have access to the pebblepad e-portfolio. The programme teams direct practice assessors and practice supervisors who can't attend the workshop to the presentation. They confirm they provide support for individual practice assessors and practice supervisors if required to ensure they are fully prepared for their roles. The programme team are advised to review how practice assessors and practice supervisors engage with the recorded workshop.

(Recommendation one)

Evidence of programme leader being a registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience (R4.3)

Yes

Evidence of the programme leader working in conjunction with the LME and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes (R4.4)

Yes

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.5)

Met

R4.5 is met. Unchanged since original approval on 09 December 2019.

Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.6)

Yes

Processes are in place to provide feedback to students throughout the

programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes (R4.7)

Yes

Processes are in place to assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice (R4.8)

Yes

Processes are in place to ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies (R4.9). This includes:

- successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80%), and**
- successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100%)**

Yes

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to supervision and assessment are met Please provide narrative for any exceptions

Yes

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to supervision and assessment are met Please provide narrative for any exceptions

Yes

Outcome

Is the standard met?

Met

Date: 16 April 2020

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

N/A

Standard 5 Qualification to be awarded

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R5.1 following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:

R5.1.1 a community practitioner nurse or midwife prescriber (V100/V150), or

R5.1.2 a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300)

R5.2 ensure that participation in and successful completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award

R5.3 inform the student that the award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber

R5.4 inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met

Processes are in place to ensure following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:

- a community practitioner nurse (or midwife) prescriber (V100/V150), or
- a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300) (R5.1)

Yes

Evidence to ensure that successful participation in and completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award (R5.2)

Yes

Processes are in place to inform the student that the award must be registered with the NMC within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber (R5.3)

Yes

Processes are in place to inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice (R5.4)

Yes

Assurance is provided that the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met

Yes

Outcome

Is the standard met?

Met

Date: 16 April 2020

Post Event Review

Identify how the condition is met:

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

N/A

Section four

Source of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	Yes/No
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation	Yes
Programme specification(s)	Yes
Module descriptors	Yes
Student facing documentation including: programme handbook	Yes
Student university handbook	Yes
Practice assessment documentation	Yes
Practice placement handbook	Yes
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against RPS A Competency Framework for all Prescribers	Yes
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)	Yes
Mapping document providing evidence of how the programme meets the Standards for prescribing programmes and RPS Standards of proficiency for prescribers (NMC, 2018)	Yes
Mapping document providing evidence of how the Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme(s)	Yes
Curricula vitae for relevant staff	Yes
Registered healthcare professionals, experienced prescribers with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme - registration checked on relevant regulators website	Yes
Written confirmation by the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions	Yes
List additional documentation: Virtual meeting with the programme teams, 16 April 2020 NMC, AEI and PLPs annual self-assessment report, 2019, 15 January 2019 UoH, independent and supplementary prescribing (V300), programme approval visit report, 7 August 2019	
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation	
Additional comments:	

During the visit the visitor(s) met the following groups	Yes/No
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme	No

Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme	No
Programme team/academic assessors	Yes
Practice leads/practice supervisors/ practice assessors	No
Students	No
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:	
Service users and carers	No
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation Not applicable - major modification desktop review.	
Additional comments: None.	

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the visit:	Yes/No
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)	No
Library facilities	No
Technology enhanced learning / virtual learning environment	No
Educational audit tools/documentation	No
Practice learning environments	No
If yes, state where visited/findings:	
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation Not applicable - major modification desktop review.	
Additional comments: None.	

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.
We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record

Final Report

Author	Bernadette Martin	Date	23 April 2020
Checked by	Monica Murphy	Date	27 May 2020
Submitted by	Lucy Percival	Date	04 June 2020
Approved by	Helen Shapcott	Date	05 June 2020

