



# **Programme Major Modification report**

# **Section one**

Programme provider name:	University of Chester	
In partnership with: (Associated practice learning partners involved in the delivery of the	Bridgewater Community Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust	
programme)	Cheshire and Wirral Partnership NHS Foundation Trust	
	Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust	
	Wirral Community Health and Care NHS Foundation Trust	
	Private, voluntary and independent health care providers	
Programmes reviewed: This is the NMC programme title(s)	Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	
	Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	
	Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	
AEI programme title(s):		
Current AEI programme title(s): Please include all currently approved programme titles	Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	
	Non-medical prescribing V300	
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A	N/A	





Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A	Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100
Academic level of current programme:	
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5  Level 6  Level 7
Independent and supplementary nurse	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
prescribing V300	Level 11
	□ N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 \( \subseteq \text{Level 6} \subseteq \text{Level 7}
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	Level 11
	□ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Community practitioner nurse prescribing	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
V100	Level 11
	⊠ N/A
Academic levels of modified/additional	programme(s)/route(s):
Independent and supplementary purse	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	SCQF



M	
мотт	M
MACDO	NALD

- Courion	MACDONALD
	Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland  Level 5  Level 6  Level 7
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	SCQF  Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
V 100	Level 11
	□ N/A
Programme approval dates:	
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.	14 December 2020
Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	N/A
Programme start dates:	
Current modification programme start	
Current modification programme start date: Independent and supplementary nurse	



M	
мотт	M
	NAID

	***************************************
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	
Date of modification:	26 July 2021
Type of modification:	Desktop
QA visitor:	Registrant Visitor: Dianne Bowskill





#### **Summary of review and findings**

The University of Chester (the university) is an approved education institution (AEI). The faculty of health and social care (the faculty) is experienced in delivering prescribing programmes. The faculty are approved for independent and supplementary prescribing V300 and community practitioner nurse prescribing V150. The V300 and V150 were approved by the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) on 14 December 2020.

The university present the community practitioner nurse prescribing V100 for approval. The programme is mapped to the Standards for prescribing programmes (SPP) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) competency framework for all prescribers) (NMC, 2018).

The V100 community practitioner nurse prescribing programme is a 10-credit award delivered at academic levels six and seven. The programme is delivered full-time and part-time.

The V100 is a core award at level six in the Bachelor of Science (BSc)/graduate diploma specialist practice community (SPC) programme. It's also a core award in the academic level seven Master of Science (MSc)/postgraduate diploma SPC programme. Both SPC programmes offer five pathways: nursing in the home/district nursing, mental health nursing, learning disability nursing, general practice nursing and community children's nursing.

The V100 is an optional award in the academic level six BSc/graduate diploma specialist community public health nursing (SCPHN) programme. It's also an optional award in the academic level seven MSc/postgraduate diploma SCPHN programme. Both SCPHN programmes offer three pathways: health visiting, occupational health nursing and school nursing.

There's evidence of partnership working between the AEI, practice learning partners (PLPs), students and service users and carers (SUCs) to develop and deliver the prescribing programmes.

Documentary evidence provides assurance that the V100 programme accepts applications from self-employed and non-NHS employed applicants. A checklist used at application to the SPC and SCPHN programmes ensures applicants to the V100 programme meet prescribing programme entry requirements.

A non-medical prescribing (NMP) service user handbook confirms the AEI and faculty commitment to SUC involvement in the co-production, delivery and





evaluation of the V100 prescribing programme. The timetable confirms SUCs contribute to programme delivery and evaluation.

The V100 programme team comprises of nurses with relevant qualifications for the prescribing award. The timetable provides evidence that the V300 and V150 programme teams, including a pharmacist, contribute to the V100. We're therefore assured that the delivery of all routes within the prescribing programme is consistent across the faculty.

There's documentary evidence to confirm the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) are in place in the proposed V100 programme. The programme specification confirms that students are assigned to a practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor. There's a V100 focussed session in the SPC and SCPHN programmes that prepares practice supervisors and practice assessors. Practice learning is assessed through the community practitioner nurse prescribing competency framework V100 document. Students are assessed by the practice assessor on their achievement of the RPS prescribing competencies.

Documentary evidence assures that the V100 practice assessor and academic assessor are aware they're required to meet at specific times during the period of practice learning. The midway practice meeting includes a tripartite meeting with the student.

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) is met at programme level.

The SSSA are met at programme level.

The V100 programme is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recomme	nded outcome of the approval panel
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme





Council	MACDONALD
	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources:
	None identified.
	Selection, admission and progression:
	None identified.
	Practice learning:
Conditions:	None identified.
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award:
	None identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance:
	None identified.
Date condition(s) to be met:	N/A
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	N/A
Focused areas for future monitoring:	To ensure that developments in prescribing teaching and learning are implemented consistently as appropriate across all prescribing programmes.
	and learning are implemented consistently as

Programme is rec	ommended for approva being met		conditions
Commentary post re	view of evidence again	st conditions	
N/A			
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education		
	institution	YES 🖂	NO 🗌



M	
мотт	M
MACDO	NALD

	IIIAODOI	1712
Final	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval	
recommendation made to NMC:	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme	
Date condition(s) met:	N/A	

#### **Section three**

#### **NMC Programme standards**

Please refer to NMC standards reference points

Standards for prescribing programmes (NMC, 2018)

Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers) (NMC, 2018)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)

Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020)

QA Handbook (NMC, 2020)

#### **Partnerships**

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

#### Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

#### Standard 1: The learning culture:

- R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with service users and other stakeholders
- R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

#### Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

- R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders
- R2.4 comply with NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
- R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes





- R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation
- R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

#### **Standard 3: Student empowerment:**

- R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs
- R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills
- R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning
- R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

#### Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

- R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment
- R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment
- R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

#### Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

- R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes
- R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme
- R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

#### **Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:**

- R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments
- R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning
- R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate





#### Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

#### Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

# Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

#### Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

#### Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Evidence of partnership working between the AEI, PLPs, students and SUCs to develop and deliver prescribing programmes is confirmed in the V300 and V150 NMC approval report. Evidence to assure the same partnership working in the V100 is provided in the record of programme planning meetings, which report involvement of community specialist practitioner and SCPHN specialist nurses in programme development. Documentary evidence assures that students attended and contributed to V100 programme planning meetings and SPC/SCPHN staff student liaison committee meetings.

There's active partnership working at a bimonthly north west NMP education group (NWNMPEG) meeting. Documentary evidence assures that the V100 programme is discussed alongside the V300 and V150 programmes. Meetings are attended by the faculty NMP lead who's also the V300 programme lead. The programme team tell us the V100 programme lead receives minutes of the NWNMPEG meetings. Governance of prescribing programmes is further strengthened by the V300 prescribing leads presence at SPCHN and SPC programme committee meetings.





The V100 programme is integral in the SCPHN and SPC programmes both of which require manager agreement for study release. There's a joint approach to recruitment to the V100 programme at application to SPC and SCPHN programmes. There's a joint AEI and PLP student information pack and collaboration between the AEI, PLPs and SUC representatives at recruitment.

Documentary evidence, supported by discussion with the programme lead, provides assurance that the V100 programme within the SCPHN and SPC awards is open to application from self-employed and non-NHS employed registrants.

An application checklist assures that applicants to the V100 are confirmed to meet the prescribing programme entry requirements. For V100 the application check is made at application to the SPC and SCPHN programmes.

A NMP service user handbook confirms AEI and faculty commitment to SUC involvement in the co-production, delivery and evaluation of the V100 prescribing programme. Evidence assures SUC involvement in the development of the V100. The timetable confirms SUCs contribute to programme delivery, students receive feedback from SUCs on their progress and performance through a SUC feedback form, submitted as part of the V100 SPC practice learning skills portfolio and SCPHN practice learning skills portfolio.

There's opportunity for inter-professional learning in practice and in the classroom as students from specialisms such as health visiting, district nursing, general practice and occupational health nursing study the V100 award together.

V100 students provide feedback on the programme through course representatives, who attend the SPC/SCPHN staff student liaison committee meetings.

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in
Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education
MET ⊠ NOT MET □
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: <a href="Standards for student supervision and assessment">Standards for student supervision and assessment</a> MET NOT MET
Post event review
Identify how the condition(s) is met:
N/A
Date condition(s) met:
N/A





		MACDONALD
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: N/A	MET 🗌	NOT MET

#### Student journey through the programme

#### **Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression**

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
- R1.2 provide opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non- NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
- R1.3 confirm that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme
- R1.4 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers
- R1.5 confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme
- R1.6 confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas:
- R1.6.1 Clinical/health assessment
- R1.6.2 Diagnostics/care management
- R1.6.3 Planning and evaluation of care
- R1.7 ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme

**Note:** Education institutions and their practice learning partners may propose to transfer current students onto the new programme to meet the *Standards for prescribing programmes* and *Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers).* If so, evidence must be provided to support this proposed transfer as part of the education institution's mapping process at Gateway 3.

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review





Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for pre-registration midwifery programmes (NMC, 2019).

Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment</u> (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the <u>Standards for</u> <u>student supervision and assessment</u> (NMC, 2018).

## Findings against the standard and requirements

### **Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:**

Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as

	eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme (R1.1)
	YES NO
•	Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non-NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme. Evidence of this statement in documentation such as: programme specification; module descriptor, marketing material. Evidence of this statement on university web pages (R1.2)
	YES ⊠ NO □

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

 Evidence that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme (R1.3)

MET oxtimes	NOT MET
	NOT WELL

R1.3 is met. V100 prescribing is a core or option module within the SPC and SCPHN programmes. Entry to V100 is determined upon entry to the SCPHN or SPC programmes. Documentary evidence, supported by discussion with the programme lead, confirms an application checklist is in place to ensure applicants to the V100 programme meet entry requirements for V100 prescribing. V100 students are either full-time or part-time in education and manager agreement for time release is confirmed during application to the SPC or SCPHN programme.





The same checklist is used for all prescribing programmes and for the V100 this check is undertaken at application to the SPC or SCPHN programmes.

Audit documentation provides assurance that V100 practice learning placements are appropriate for prescribing students. The practice workplace audit is used for all prescribing programmes in the faculty.

The AEI have a system of personal tutoring that supports the student throughout the SPC or SCPHN programme including the V100 element. Upon application students identify a practice supervisor and practice assessor with a relevant prescribing qualification. The application checklist assures nominated practice supervisors and practice assessors for V100 students meet the requirements of the role.

the ro	le.		ano roquironno	
Evide	ence provides assurance that the follow	ing QA app	roval criteria	are met:
•	Processes are in place to consider recognicate apable of being mapped to the RPS Con Prescribers (R1.4)			
	Tresensere (ICIII)		YES 🖂	NO 🗌
•	Processes are in place to confirm on entrundertake a prescribing programme has tacademic ability to study at the level required	he compete	nce, experien	ce and
•	Processes are in place to confirm that the effective practice at a level of proficiency be undertaken and their intended area of following areas (R1.6): - Clinical/health assessment - Diagnostics/care management - Planning and evaluation	appropriate	to the progran	nme to
•	Processes are in place to ensure that approximately supplementary/independent prescribing programme (R1.7)	rogrammes	have been reg	_
	the programme (ICT.7)	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	N/A 🖂
This n	nodification is considering the V100 progra	ımme.		
Propo	osed transfer of current students to the	programme	under reviev	W
From	your documentary analysis and your m	neeting with	students, pr	ovide

programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber

an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for prescribing





(adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers) will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.

Documentary evidence states all students on the current V100 programme will have completed before commencement of this proposed programme. The programme lead confirms there are no students returning to studies and therefore no transfers onto the proposed V100 programme.

# Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u> <u>supervision and assessment (SSSA)</u> (NMC, 2018).

From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.

The programme lead confirms there will be no transfer of current V100 students to the new programme. The SSSA is already in place for current students.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framidwifery education</u> relevant to selection, admission		
	YES	NO □
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
<b>Date:</b> 26 July 2021		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: N/A	MET 🗌	NOT MET

#### **Standard 2: Curriculum**

Approved educations institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education
- R2.2 ensure that all prescribing programmes are designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS *A Competency Framework for all Prescribers*, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice
- R2.3 state the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies





- R2.4 develop programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice:
- R2.4.1 stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes
- R2.4.2 stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes
- R2.4.3 confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing
- R2.5 ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning, using a range of learning and teaching strategies
- R2.6 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language

Find	ings	against	: th	e st	anda	ırd a	ınd	requ	irem	ent	S
------	------	---------	------	------	------	-------	-----	------	------	-----	---

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

•	There is evidence that the programme complies with the framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1)	e NMC Stand	ards
	Trainiework for hursing and midwhery education (1(2.1)	YES 🖂	NO [
•	There is evidence that the programme is designed to full competencies set out in the RPS <i>Competency Framew Prescribers</i> , as necessary for safe and effective prescribers.	ork for all	

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

		NOT MET
	achievement of those competencies (R2.3)	
•	Evidence of the learning and teaching strategies that will be us	sed to support

R2.3 is met. An example timetable provides evidence that the taught element of the V100 programme uses a range of teaching and learning methods. Discussion sessions and small group work tutorials are timetabled to follow lead lectures thus providing students an opportunity to ask questions, check and develop understanding of key concepts. Students have facilitated opportunities to reflect on practice and to work in groups on case studies to develop their prescribing knowledge and skills. The programme lead tells us that practice supervisors and practice assessors help to develop simulated learning resources.

Students are supported to practice class taught skills in practice learning and the programme lead explains this supports students to prepare for assessment. For example, prescription writing taught in class is practised on non-patient facing





documentation under the supervision of the practice supervisor and practice assessor and submitted for assessment in the portfolio.

Content of the programme is mapped against and evidenced to meet the RPS prescribing competencies.

#### **Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:**

- Evidence of programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice (R2.4):
  - stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes

<ul> <li>stating the prescribing specific content necessal programme outcomes</li> </ul>	ry to mee	et the	
<ul> <li>confirming that the programme outcomes can be the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practices and children's nursing specialist community public health nursing</li> </ul>	tice (adu	lt, menta	al
	YES	$\boxtimes$	NO 🗌
<ul> <li>The programme structure demonstrates an equal by practice learning. This is detailed in the designated descriptors and practice learning allocations. A ran teaching strategies are detailed in the programme handbook and module descriptors with theory / pra each part of the programme and at end point. Ther aims, descriptors and outcomes specified. (R2.5)</li> </ul>	hours in ge of lea specifica ctice bala	the mod rning and tion, prod ance det	lule d gramme ailed at
aimo, accomptere and catecimes opcomed. (112.5)	YES	$\boxtimes$	NO 🗌
<ul> <li>If relevant to the review</li> <li>Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Vilegislation which supports the use of the Welsh land</li> <li>YES </li> </ul>		R2.6)	n any N/A ⊠
The programme is delivered in England.			
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framewall in the framewall of the framewall in the framewal</u>			nd NO 🗌
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for studessessment</u> relevant to curricula are met	dent supe YES		nnd NO 🗌
Outcome			
Is the standard met?	T 🖂	NOT N	IET 🗌





<b>Date:</b> 26 July 2021
Post event review
Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A
Date condition(s) met: N/A
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT MET N/A
Standard 3: Practice learning
<ul> <li>Approved education institutions must:         R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed     </li> <li>Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:         R3.2 ensure that practice learning complies with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u> </li> <li>R3.3 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment     </li> <li>R3.4 ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u></li> </ul>
Findings against the standard and requirements
Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met  • Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and
governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed (R3.1).  MET  NOT MET
: <u>~</u> :
R3.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.





		MACDONALL
Evidence provides assurance that the following	QA approval	criteria are met:
<ul> <li>There is evidence that the programme complisated student supervision and assessment (R3.2)</li> </ul>		
	YE	S NO
Provide an evaluative summary from your docur	nentary analy	sis and
evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to		
provided that the QA approval criteria below is n		
provided that the QA approval criteria below is in		L .
<ul> <li>Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and opportunities are used effectively and proport</li> </ul>		•
and assessment (R3.3)		
	MET 🖂	NOT MET
R3.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.		
<b>Evidence provides assurance that the following</b>	QA approval	criteria are met:
g		
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to ensure that student</li> </ul>	te work in nartr	nershin with the
•	•	•
education provider and their practice learning		
supervision and assessment that complies wi	ith the Nivic Si	tandards for
student supervision and assessment (R3.4)		- 5
	YE	S 🛛 NO 🗌
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards fr	<u>amework for n</u>	<u>ursing and</u>
midwifery education relevant to practice learning are	e met	
	YE	S⊠ NO□
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for	or student sune	ervision and
<u>assessment</u> relevant to practice learning are met	or otaraorit cape	<del>MATOROTT GITG</del>
doscosment relevant to practice learning are met	VE	s⊠ no □
	I E	S⊠ NO□
Outcome		
Outcome	MET 🖂	NOT MET
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET [
<b>D</b> 4 00 1 1 0004		
<b>Date:</b> 26 July 2021		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A		
Data condition(s) mot:		
Date condition(s) met: N/A		
Deviced outcome often and different was to	NACT -	NOT MET
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: N/A	MET [_]	NOT MET [_]





### Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>
- R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>
- R4.3 appoint a programme leader in accordance with the requirements of the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education.</u> The programme leader of a prescribing programme may be any registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience
- R4.4 ensure the programme leader works in conjunction with the lead midwife for education (LME) and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes
- R4.5 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking
- R4.5.1 In exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for that part of the programme where the prescribing student is undergoing training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and assessor roles to be carried out by the same person
- R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking
- R4.7 provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes
- R4.8 assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice
- R4.9 ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies. This includes all students:
- R4.9.1 successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80 percent), and
- R4.9.2 successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100 percent)

#### Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met





There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u> (R4.1)
R4.1 is met. Documentary evidence provides assurance that the practice areas are appropriate and able to support practice learning for V100 students. On application there must be completion of an educational audit. This is the same audit and follows the same process as used on the V150 and V300 programmes. Student, practice supervisor and practice assessor facing documents assure those in these roles have knowledge of how to raise a concern.
There is evidence of how the <u>Standards for student supervision and</u> <u>assessment</u> are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessors along with how they will be prepared for their roles (R4.2)
MET NOT MET
R4.2 is met. There's documentary evidence to confirm the SSSA is in place in the proposed V100 programme. Upon application the student is required to nominate a practice supervisor and practice assessor and is provided with details of the role requirements. The practice supervisor and practice assessor undertake the role for the SCPHN or SPC programme including the V100 prescribing award. The programme lead confirms checks are made to assure those nominated as practice assessors and practice supervisors meet role requirements.  The prescribing practice assessor and practice supervisor handbook provides assurance that the AEI has mechanisms in place to prepare and support practice supervisor and practice assessor in their roles. Documentary evidence tells us that practice supervisors and practice assessors are invited to attend a V100 focussed preparation session. This is confirmed by the programme lead. The preparation session content assures the practice supervisor and practice assessor have knowledge of the programme content, know of the requirement to meet and know how supervision and assessment of prescribing competencies occurs throughout the SCPHN and SPC programmes.
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
<ul> <li>Evidence of programme leader being a registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience (R4.3)</li> <li>YES ⋈ NO □</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Evidence of the programme leader working in conjunction with the LME and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes (R4.4)</li> <li>YES NO NA</li> </ul>





Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and

provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not i		ance is
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.5)</li> </ul>		
MET 🖂	NOT M	ET 🗌
R4.5 is met. Documentary evidence outlining the V100 applicate confirms that the practice assessor nominated by the student is demonstrate they're a registered health professional and expers The application checklist assures professional registration and prescribing status is checked.	required to ienced presc	
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approv	al criteria a	re met:
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.6)</li> </ul>		
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to provide feedback to students to programme to support their development as necessary for competencies and programme outcomes (R4.7)</li> </ul>	•	
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice (R4.8)</li> </ul>		
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to ensure that all programme lea met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS co This includes:</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharm be passed with a minimum score of 80%), and</li> <li>successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to</li> </ul>		
calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment musscore of 100%).	st be passed	
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: <u>Standards framework for midwifery education</u> relevant to supervision and assessment ar	<u>or nursing an</u> e met	<u>d</u>





	YES	⊠ NO □	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards for assessment</u> relevant to supervision and assessment	are met		
	YES	⊠ NO ∐	
Outcome			
Is the standard met?	MET 🔀	NOT MET	
<b>Date:</b> 26 July 2021			
Post event review			
Identify how the condition(s) is met: N/A			
Date condition(s) met: N/A			
Revised outcome after condition(s) met: N/A	MET 🗌	NOT MET	
Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded			
Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:  R5.1 following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:  R5.1.1 a community practitioner nurse or midwife prescriber (V100/V150), or  R5.1.2 a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300)  R5.2 ensure that participation in and successful completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award  R5.3 inform the student that the award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber  R5.4 inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice			
Findings against the standards and requirements			
Evidence provides assurance that the following Q	A approval o	criteria are met:	





•	Processes are in place to ensure following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:			
	<ul> <li>a community practitioner nurse (or midwife) pre</li> <li>a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary</li> </ul>	prescrib		
•	Evidence to ensure that successful participation NMC approved prescribing programme leads to equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum	accredita award (l	ation at a lev	
<ul> <li>Processes are in place to inform the student that the award must be registered with the NMC within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber (R5.3)</li> </ul>				essfully
	processor (rece)	`	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
•	Processes are in place to inform the student that once their prescribing qualification has been ann and they may only prescribe from the formulary to prescribe from and within their competence and	notated o they are scope of	n the NMC r qualified to	egister
Assurance is provided that the <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery</u> education relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met  YES NO				
040.0				
Outco		MET 🖂	NOT M	ст 🗆
15 1116	e Standard Met?	VIE I	NOT W	
Date:	: 26 July 2021			
Post 6	event review			
<b>Identi</b> N/A	tify how the condition(s) is met:			
Date on N/A	condition(s) met:			
<b>Revis</b> N/A	sed outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT M	ET 🗌

# **Section four**





#### Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and	$\boxtimes$	
consultation		
Programme specification(s)		
Module descriptors	$\boxtimes$	
Student facing documentation including: programme	$\boxtimes$	
handbook		
Student university handbook	$\boxtimes$	
Practice assessment documentation	$\boxtimes$	
Practice placement handbook	$\boxtimes$	
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped	$\boxtimes$	
against RPS A Competency Framework for all		
Prescribers		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\boxtimes$	
education institution has met the Standards framework for		
nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) (Gateway		
1)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\bowtie$	
Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC,		
2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the	$\bowtie$	
programme meets the Standards for prescribing		
programmes and RPS Standards of proficiency for		
prescribers (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 3)		
Curricula vitae for relevant staff	$\bowtie$	
Pagistared healthcare professionals, experienced	$\square$	
Registered healthcare professionals, experienced prescribers with suitable equivalent qualifications for the		
programme - registration checked on relevant regulators		
website		
Written placement agreements between the education	$\square$	
institution and associated practice learning partners to		
support the programme intentions.		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	ation:	
in you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitig	auun.	
List additional documentation:		
None identified.		
Additional comments:		
None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:





	YES	NO	
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with	$\boxtimes$		
responsibility for resources for the programme			
Senior managers from associated practice learning			
partners with responsibility for resources for the			
programme			
Programme team/academic assessors			
Practice leads/practice supervisors/ practice assessors		$\boxtimes$	
Students		$\boxtimes$	
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:			
Service users and carers			
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mit	igation:		
The addition of the V100 is managed via a major modification desktop therefore			
meetings with stakeholders aren't required.	•		
·			
Additional comments:			
None identified.			
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri	ng the event	:	
	YES	NO	
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical		$\boxtimes$	
` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `			
skills/simulation suites)			
skills/simulation suites) Library facilities			
Library facilities			
Library facilities Technology enhanced learning			
Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment			
Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation			
Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments			
Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation			

# **Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer**

Additional comments:

None identified.

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

The university is an established AEI so visits to resources aren't required.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied





upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record			
Final Report			
Author(s):	Dianne Bowskill	Date:	29 July 2021
Checked by:	Ian Felstead-Watts	Date:	2 August 2021
Submitted by:	Shahzaib Ghafoor	Date:	16 September 2021
Approved by:	Emiko Hughes	Date:	20 September 2021