



Programme Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	Buckinghamshire New University	
Programmes reviewed: This is the NMC programme title(s)	Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300 Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150 Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	
AEI programme title(s):		
Current AEI programme title(s): Please include all currently approved programme titles	Non-Medical Prescribing (V300)	
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A	N/A	
Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A	Community Practitioner Nurse Prescribing V100	
Academic level of current programme:		
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 \(\) Level 6 \(\) Level 7	
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10	
	☐ Level 11 ☐ N/A	
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7	



M	
мотт	M
	NAID

	MACDONALD
	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	☐ Level 11 ☑ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	☐ Level 11 ☑ N/A
Academic levels of modified/additional	programme(s)/route(s):
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	Level 11
	⊠ N/A
	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10
	Level 11





	□ N/A
Programme approval dates:	
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.	18 March 2021
Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	N/A
Programme start dates:	
Current modification programme start date: Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300 Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150 Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	N/A N/A 6 June 2022
Date of modification:	22 September 2021
Type of modification:	Desktop
QA visitor:	Registrant Visitor: Nikki Welyczko





Summary of review and findings

Buckinghamshire New University (BNU) is an established approved education institution (AEI). BNU presents a modification to the independent and supplementary nurse prescribing (V300) programme to include a community practitioner nurse prescribing (V100) route which is embedded within the specialist practitioner qualification (SPQ) and the specialist community public health nursing (SCPHN) programmes. Students undertaking the SCPHN programme study V100 prescribing; SPQ students can study either the V100 or V300 prescribing routes. The V300 programme was approved by the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) on 18 March 2021. SCPHN and SPQ applicants who have an existing NMC recordable V150 or V300 prescribing qualification will access the respective programmes' recognition of prior learning process. Students who are prescribers can attend the V100 taught content to support continuing professional development through updating and maintaining prescribing skills in SPQ or SCPHN practice.

The V100 programme is mapped to the Standards for prescribing programmes (SPP) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards of proficiency for nurse prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society competency framework for all prescribers (RPS), 2016). The programme is a non-credit bearing module delivered at academic level six; it's delivered part-time over 15 weeks. It's assessed by practice assessors and verified by academic assessors using an e-portfolio that's mapped against the RPS. Service user and carer (SUC) feedback relating to prescribing practice, written prescriptions and a 1,500-word reflective account of prescribing practice must be evidenced in the e-portfolio. A two-part examination includes a numeracy assessment with a pass mark of 100 percent and pharmacology short answer questions with a pass mark of 80 percent.

There's documentary evidence that the SPQ, SCPHN and prescribing programme teams work closely with practice learning partners (PLPs) to ensure the provision of appropriate placement learning experiences. BNU and PLPs demonstrate a partnership approach to ensuring that there's ongoing development and monitoring of placement capacity. There's evidence of the management of placement quality assurance processes that comply with NMC standards. There's evidence of strategic and operational partnership working with PLPs and opportunities for them to provide feedback on the programme, through formal strategic meetings and informal mechanisms with the programme teams. A partnership approach is adopted to address any concerns about practice learning identified through system regulator and Care Quality Commission (CQC) reporting.

There's evidence that SUCs have contributed to the development of the interview questions for the prescribing programmes. The V100 route includes the





importance of working in partnership with SUCs and ensuring that shared decision-making is at the forefront of prescribing practice.

Students have the opportunity to provide feedback about the module through an evaluation form that's used to enhance the student experience for future cohorts.

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) are met at programme level.

One NMC recommendation is made.

The modification is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval
	Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met
	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme
	Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources: None identified.
	Selection, admission and progression: None identified.
Conditions:	Practice learning: None identified.
	Assessment, fitness for practice and award: None identified.
	Education governance: management and quality assurance: None identified.
Date condition(s) to be met:	N/A





	MACDONALL
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	Recommendation one: Consider documenting the formal process used in the exceptional circumstances that the same person is required to fulfil the roles of both practice supervisor and practice assessor. (SSSA R7.10; SPP R4.5)
Focused areas for future monitoring:	Ongoing SUC involvement in the recruitment process.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met			
Commentary post rev	Commentary post review of evidence against conditions N/A		
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES NO		
Summary of observations made, if applicable	N/A		
Final recommendation	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval		
made to NMC:	Recommended to refuse approval of the programme		
Date condition(s) met:	N/A		

Section three

NMC Programme standards

Please refer to NMC standards reference points

Standards for prescribing programmes (NMC, 2018)

<u>Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers)</u>
(NMC, 2018)

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)

Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education (NMC, 2020)

QA Handbook (NMC, 2020)

Partnerships





The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and coproduced with service users and other stakeholders

R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others





Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements





Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Documentary evidence and discussion at a teleconference with the programme team confirms that there's effective partnership working with key stakeholders in the co-production, development, delivery, assessment and evaluation of the programme. There's evidence of stakeholder engagement with PLPs, prescribers in practice, students and SUCs. BNU and SPQ, SCPHN, V300 and V100 programme teams liaise with PLPs to ensure that standards are maintained across all NMC approved programmes. Feedback is sought through meetings with all stakeholders. SUC feedback on the programme development was sought using questionnaires sent to the SUC group. SUCs contribute to the delivery of the wider SPQ and SCPHN programmes as guest speakers who share their lived experience with students. The programme team confirm that they plan to include SUCs as part of the interview panel.

Programme leaders and all academic assessors who support students undertaking V100 as part of the SPQ and SCPHN programmes hold quarterly meetings and workshops for PLPs. These provide an opportunity to review the operational and strategic infrastructure which supports the provision of practice learning. They also provide the opportunity for practice supervisors and practice assessors to access continual professional development and to contribute to future curriculum planning. AEI representatives engage in a variety of meetings and forums with PLPs including tripartite meetings with practice supervisors, practice assessors and students, recruitment planning days and joint interviews. The programme teams work closely with PLPs to ensure the provision of placement learning experiences, the development and monitoring of placement capacity and the management of placement quality assurance processes. There's a joint approach to annual practice learning environment educational audits using the pan London practice learning environment audit tool. There are ongoing reviews to ensure that practice learning environments meet the requirements of the SSSA.

Practice learning experience is monitored and evaluated during and following completion of the programme. There's evidence of effective communication between practice assessors and BNU, through programme leaders and academic assessors to highlight any practice learning concerns. Students are advised about and have access to the procedure for raising concerns both in the practice learning environments and in BNU learning environments. Students provide ongoing evaluations, for example using Mentimeter quizzes, to obtain student feedback at the end of taught sessions.

The V100 and V300 prescribing modules are delivered separately, however teaching teams share resources and teaching tools; a pharmacist teaches on both modules to ensure there's parity of learning.





Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning		
partners, service users, students and all other stakehold		
Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midw	<u>ifery educa</u>	<u>ation</u>
N	IET 🖂	NOT MET
Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership partners, service users, students and all other stakehold Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assess	lers as ide	
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
	IET 🗌	NOT MET
IN/A		

Student journey through the programme

Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

- R1.1 ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
- R1.2 provide opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non- NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
- R1.3 confirm that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme
- R1.4 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers
- R1.5 confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme
- R1.6 confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas:
- R1.6.1 Clinical/health assessment





R1.6.2 Diagnostics/care management

R1.6.3 Planning and evaluation of care

R1.7 ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme

Note: Education institutions and their practice learning partners may propose to transfer current students onto the new programme to meet the Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers) If so, evidence must be provided to support this proposed transfer as part of the education institution's mapping process at Gateway 3.

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for pre-registration midwifery programmes (NMC, 2019).

Proposed transfer of current students to the **Standards for student** supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the **Standards for** student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

 Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered not (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered 	
	eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme
	(R1.1) YES ⋈ NO □

Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, selfemployed or non-NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme. Evidence of this statement in documentation such as: programme specification; module descriptor,

marketing material. Evidence of this statement on university web pages (R1.2)		ages
(171.2)	YES 🖂	NO 🗌





Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and

evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met
 Evidence that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme (R1.3)
MET ⊠ NOT MET □
R1.3 is met. The programme specific values-based application process requires SCPHN and SPQ applicants to demonstrate that they meet the V100 entry criteria. Students are required to participate in an interview as part of the recruitment process. Applications are checked by the programme team who must confirm that applicants meet the requirements to undertake the V100 prescribing route.
The process confirms that managers must confirm that students have protected learning time and that there's practice assessor and practice supervisor support. Managers are required to sign to confirm the applicant's clinical competence and that practice learning time during the programme will be protected. Confirmation of practice supervisor and practice assessor support and assurance that they meet the requirements of the SSSA must be in place before students undertake the programme.
Documentary evidence confirms that practice learning environments must have a suitable CQC report. Practice assessors are required to confirm the suitability of the practice learning environment within the application form. All students are assigned to a personal tutor who provides support for the duration of the programme.
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
 Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers (R1.4)
YES ⊠ NO □
 Processes are in place to confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme (R1.5) YES NO
 Processes are in place to confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to





be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas (R1.6):

following areas (R1.6): - Clinical/health assessment - Diagnostics/care management - Planning and evaluation
YES ⊠ NO □
 Processes are in place to ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme (R1.7) YES NO N/A The modification is to approve the V100 route only.
Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review
From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an evaluative summary to confirm how the Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers) will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme. No students will transfer onto the programme.
Proposed transfer of current students to the <u>Standards for student</u>
supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018).
From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment. The SSSA is implemented in the SPQ, SCPHN and V300 programmes.
Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to selection, admission and progression are met YES NO
Outcome
Is the standard met? MET NOT MET
Date: 22 September 2021
Post event review Identify how the condition(s) is met:
N/A
Date condition(s) met: N/A



M	
MOTT	M
MACDO	NALD

Council		MACDONALD
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		
Standard 2: Curriculum		
Approved educations institutions, together wit must:	h practice lear	rning partners,
R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC Stand midwifery education R2.2 ensure that all prescribing programmes are dompetencies set out in the RPS A Competency F necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice.	designed to fully Framework for a	y deliver the
R2.3 state the learning and teaching strategies the achievement of those competencies	at will be used t	
R2.4 develop programme outcomes that inform learnelevant to the individual's intended scope of presonant and professional centers.	cribing practice	:
R2.4.1 stating the general and professional conter programme outcomes	it necessary to	meet the
R2.4.2 stating the prescribing specific content necessity outcomes	essary to meet	the programme
R2.4.3 confirming that the programme outcomes of NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adisabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and	dult, mental he	alth, learning
health nursing R2.5 ensure that the curriculum provides a balanc- using a range of learning and teaching strategies	e of theory and	practice learning,
R2.6 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales of which supports the use of the Welsh language	comply with an	y legislation
Findings against the standard and requirement	ts	
Evidence provides assurance that the following		l criteria are met:
There is evidence that the programme com- framework for nursing and midwifery educar		IMC Standards
	` '	ES NO
There is evidence that the programme is de competencies set out in the RPS Competer	,	

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

Prescribers, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice (R2.2).

YES 🖂

NO





 Evidence of the learning and teaching strategies that achievement of those competencies (R2.3) 	will be us	ed to support
	T 🖂	NOT MET
R2.3 is met. The V100 has a blended approach to learning a have lectures to support the development of consultation sk based learning enables them to practice history taking skills descriptor confirms that students undertake 24 theory and 6 hours. There's evidence that demonstrates appropriate confirms development. The prescribing programme team of prescribers, pharmacists and practice-based clinicians where the programme. Programme documentation details the combetween practice assessors, practice supervisors and academ achievement of the RPS by practice assessors and academ	ills and sire. The mode of practice tent to support includes a munication emic assed verification ic assessed.	mulation- lule learning port V100 a wide group delivery of n processes ssors. on of
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA app	roval crit	eria are met:
 Evidence of programme outcomes that inform learning formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope (R2.4): 	•	
 stating the general and professional content nece 	ssary to m	neet the
 programme outcomes stating the prescribing specific content necessary programme outcomes 	to meet th	ne
 confirming that the programme outcomes can be the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practic health, learning disabilities and children's nursing specialist community public health nursing 	ce (adult, r	nental
openiane, community pasie neam mareing	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
 The programme structure demonstrates an equal bal practice learning. This is detailed in the designated he descriptors and practice learning allocations. A range teaching strategies are detailed in the programme sp handbook and module descriptors with theory / practice each part of the programme and at end point. There a aims, descriptors and outcomes specified. (R2.5) 	ours in the e of learnin ecification ice balanc	e module ng and , programme e detailed at
	IES 🖂	NO 🗀
• Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wa	•	•
legislation which supports the use of the Welsh langu	nage. (R2.0 NO □	6) N/A 🖂
The programme is only delivered in England.		





Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards fra	mework for r	nursing and
midwifery education relevant to curricula and assessi		
	YES	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for	student sup	ervision and
assessment relevant to curricula are met	YES	
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
Date: 22 September 2021		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A		
	-	
Standard 3: Practice learning		
Approved education institutions must:		
••		
R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements	and governa	ance for practice
learning are in place for all applicants including arran	_	-
to those applicants who are self-employed	gomente op c	omeany tames of
to those apphicante time are sen employed		
Approved education institutions, together with pr	actice learn	ing partners.
must:		9 p ,
R3.2 ensure that practice learning complies with the	NMC Standa	ords for student
supervision and assessment	Tivio <u>Otarida</u>	rao for otadont
R3.3 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-ba	and loorning	opportunities ere
		
used effectively and proportionately to support learning	•	
R3.4 ensure that students work in partnership with th		
practice learning partners to arrange supervision and		
with the NMC Standards for student supervision and	<u>assessment</u>	
Findings against the standard and	requirement	ts
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your docum		
evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to		
provided that the QA approval criteria below is m	et or not me	et

governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including

Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and





arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are selfemployed (R3.1). $MET \boxtimes$ NOT MET \square

R3.1 is met. Programme documentation confirms that governance arrangements are in place to ensure practice learning is suitable. As part of the ongoing annual educational audit process practice learning environments must be identified as having suitable governance structures and procedures in place. Managers must confirm at application to the SPQ and SCPHN programmes that students will have protected prescribing learning time. V100 students are not self-employed.
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:
 There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC standards for student supervision and assessment (R3.2)
YES NO
Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met
 Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment (R3.3)
MET ⊠ NOT MET □
R3.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.
R3.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.
R3.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification. Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met: • Processes are in place to ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment (R3.4)



M	
мотт	M
MACDO	NALD

Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
Date: 22 September 2021		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET	NOT MET
N/A		

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>

R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC <u>Standards for student supervision and assessment</u>

R4.3 appoint a programme leader in accordance with the requirements of the NMC <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</u>. The programme leader of a prescribing programme may be any registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience

R4.4 ensure the programme leader works in conjunction with the lead midwife for education (LME) and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes

R4.5 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking

R4.5.1 In exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for that part of the programme where the prescribing student is undergoing training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and assessor roles to be carried out by the same person

R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking

R4.7 provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes

R4.8 assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice R4.9 ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies. This includes all students:





R4.9.1 successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80 percent), and

R4.9.2 successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100 percent)

Finding	s ac	taniar	the	stand	lards	and	reau	irement	ts
i iiiaiiig	Jo at	gannot	uic	Starra	ıaı us	alla	ıcqu		LO

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

	that the QA appro				
su	ere is evidence of ho pervision, learning a andards framework t	nd assessment	provided com <u>midwifery edu</u>	ıplies with	the NMC
R4.1 is m	et. Unchanged throu	igh this modifica	ation.		
<u>as:</u> ide	ere is evidence of hosessment are applientify the supervisors their roles (R4.2)	d to the program	nme. There a along with ho	re process	ses in place to
				🖂	
R4.2 is m	et. Unchanged throu	igh this modifica	ation.		
Evidence	provides assuran	ce that the follo	owing QA ap	proval cr	iteria are met
	dence of programm h appropriate knowl		•		•
the	dence of the progra practice assessor t dertaking prescribing	o ensure adequa	ate support fo		
			YES 🖂	NO 🗆	N/A [

Provide an <u>evaluative summary</u> from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

 Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced



Better, safer care through quality assurance of nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education.



Council		MACI	DONAL	
prescriber with suitable equivalent qualificatio student is undertaking (R4.5)	ns for the prog	ramme tl	he	
	MET 🖂	NOT M	ET 🗌	
R4.5 is met. All students are supervised and assess qualified and prepared practice supervisors and practice evidence confirms that's there's a process to confirm experienced prescribers who prescribe in the same of the same that is checked by the programme team at application practice assessors must be identified on the application check professional registers as part of the application team confirm the approved process for evidencing we circumstances practice assessors and practice superperson. It's recommended that the programme team the formal process for stakeholders. (Recommendated)	ctice assessors in that practice field of practice on. Practice su tion form, the p in process. The where in excep- ervisor may be consider furth	s. Docum assessor e as stude upervisors orogramme program tional the same	entary s are ents. s and ne team nme	
Evidence provides assurance that the following	QA approval	criteria a	re met:	
Processes are in place to ensure the student assessor who is a registered healthcare profe equivalent qualifications for the programme the student assessor.	essional with s	uitable		
(R4.6)	YE	s 🖂	NO 🗌	
Processes are in place to provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS				
competencies and programme outcomes (R4		S⊠	NO 🗌	
Processes are in place to assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to the first leaf of the formattice (PAS).				
to their field of prescribing practice (R4.8)	YE	s 🖂	NO 🗌	

- Processes are in place to ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies (R4.9). This includes:
 - successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80%), and
 - successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100%).

YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌





Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards	framowork for	nursing and
midwifery education relevant to supervision and as		
Tillumitery education relevant to supervision and as		NO □
	TES	
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards	for student sur	onvision and
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: <u>Standards</u> assessment relevant to supervision and assessment		<u>Dervision and</u>
assessment relevant to supervision and assessing		s⊠ no □
	1 = 0	
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET 🖂	NOT MET
is the standard met:		NOT MILT
Date: 22 September 2021		
Date. 22 deptember 2021		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET 🗌	NOT MET
N/A	ப	
Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded		
Approved education institutions, together with	practice learr	ning partners.
must:		9 p
R5.1 following successful completion of an NMC ap	oproved progra	amme of
preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (leve		
eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or		
R5.1.1 a community practitioner nurse or midwife p	•	
R5.1.2 a nurse or midwife independent/supplemen	`	, .
R5.2 ensure that participation in and successful co	• •	•
prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a	•	
degree as a minimum award	ever equivaler	it to a bacificior 3
R5.3 inform the student that the award must be rec	istared with us	s within five years
of successfully completing the programme and if the		
retake and successfully complete the programme in		
their award as a prescriber	i order to quar	illy and register
R5.4 inform the student that they may only prescrib	ne once their n	rescribing
qualification has been annotated on the NMC regis	•	_
· ·	•	
from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe fr	om and within	men competence
and scope of practice		
Findings against the standards a	nd requireme	nte

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:





•	Processes are in place to ensure following successful completion of a NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber either or both categories of: - a community practitioner nurse (or midwife) prescriber (V100/V150), - a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300) (RYES Evidence to ensure that successful participation in and completion of NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a leve equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award (R5.2)	I nurse , in or (5.1) NO					
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌					
•	 Processes are in place to inform the student that the award must be registered with the NMC within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber (R5.3) 						
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌					
 Processes are in place to inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice (R5.4) YES ☑ NO ☐ 							
Assurance is provided that the <u>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery</u> <u>education</u> relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met							
	YES 🖂	NO 🗌					
Outco	ome						
Is the	e standard met? NOT ME	ET 🗌					
Date: 22 September 2021							
	event review						
	ify how the condition(s) is met:						
N/A							
Date on N/A	condition(s) met:						
Revis N/A	sed outcome after condition(s) met: MET NOT ME	T					





Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO	
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and	\boxtimes		
consultation			
Programme specification(s)			
Module descriptors	\boxtimes		
Student facing documentation including: programme	\square		
handbook			
Student university handbook		\boxtimes	
Practice assessment documentation	\boxtimes		
Practice placement handbook	\boxtimes		
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against RPS A Competency Framework for all Prescribers			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 1)			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the programme meets the <i>Standards for prescribing</i> programmes and RPS <i>Standards of proficiency for</i> prescribers (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 3)			
Curricula vitae for relevant staff			
Registered healthcare professionals, experienced prescribers with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme - registration checked on relevant regulators website			
Written placement agreements between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions.			
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: The university handbook is accessible through an online application; it contains the key university information for students.			
List additional documentation: N/A			



M	
мотт	M
	NALD

Additional comments: None identified.

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO				
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with		\boxtimes				
responsibility for resources for the programme						
Senior managers from associated practice learning		\square				
partners with responsibility for resources for the						
programme						
Programme team/academic assessors						
Practice leads/practice supervisors/ practice assessors		\boxtimes				
Students		\boxtimes				
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:						
Service users and carers		\boxtimes				
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mi	tigation:					
Desktop modification, therefore meetings with approved A	•	anagers.				
PLPs, students and SUCs weren't required. A teleconference						
team was undertaken.	51100 WIGH 6110	programmo				
team was undertaken.						
Additional comments:						
Additional comments: None identified.						
	ng the even	t:				
None identified.						
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri	ng the even	t: NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical						
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)		NO ⊠				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities		NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning		NO ⊠				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment		NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation		NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments		NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation		NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A	YES	NO				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A	YES	NO				
None identified. The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A	YES	NO				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A	YES	NO				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mi approved AEI; visits to resources and facilities weren't recommendation.	YES	NO				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mi approved AEI; visits to resources and facilities weren't readditional comments:	YES	NO				
The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities duri Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites) Library facilities Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment Educational audit tools/documentation Practice learning environments If yes, state where visited/findings: N/A If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mi approved AEI; visits to resources and facilities weren't readditional comments:	YES	NO				





This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record							
Final Report							
Author(s):	Nikki Welyczko	Date:	23 September 2021				
Checked by:	Bernadette Martin	Date:	29 September 2021				
Submitted by:	Shahzaib Ghafoor	Date:	27 October 2021				
Approved by:	Emiko Hughes	Date:	28 October 2021				