

Future specialist community public health nursing (SCPHN) draft standards: health visiting

Thursday 29 April 2021, 9.30 am-10.30 am

Please note: for GDPR purposes we are unable to attribute comments to named individuals however the original chatbox comments and questions that were posed during the webinar remain unchanged. Our responses have been added in green italic font.

Time asked	Question asked
09:31:26 AM BST	Thanks - I will stay in as long as I can - will this be recorded for future listening? <i>Response: Yes the slides, recording and questions asked in the session will be uploaded onto our website.</i>
09:34:55 AM BST	Thank you
09:39:10 AM BST	West Midlands <i>Response: Welcome</i>
09:39:11 AM BST	Manchester <i>Response: Welcome</i>
09:39:52 AM BST	V300 prescribing or nothing? Early debate locally with commissioners and HV service providers suggests V100 with a reviewed and extended formulary? can we start that debate please? <i>Response: Absolutely we can. This is why there are specific questions on prescribing practice in the consultation. The NMC would not however be responsible for changes and extensions to the formulary as we don't have the authority to do that.</i>
09:41:22 AM BST	I am a health visitor and practice teacher <i>Response: Welcome</i>
09:41:35 AM BST	NIPEC <i>Response: Welcome</i>
09:49:04 AM BST	Can I ask why HV, SN and OHN all have independent chairs for their professional groups and none of the SPQ groups have had this leadership and preparation - this is inequitable surely? <i>Response An independent chair was appointed to SPQ qualifications and has been closely involved and engaged with all the fields of SPQ community nursing practice stakeholders.</i>
09:49:07 AM BST	I would be very interested to read the literature review - might this be made available? <i>Response: We are in the process of refreshing all elements of the evidence that is informing this work to make sure we capture any new or emerging evidence or policy. They will be available in the autumn for the post consultation assimilation work.</i>
09:52:41 AM BST	SPQ has not had the same chances to discuss what is core and what is specialist for each of their fields. SPQ has started from a different place - what is the reason for this widely different approach?

	<i>Response: We have held meetings for the individual SPQ stakeholders and audiences to give them the opportunity to consider core and bespoke standards. The drafting of the new SPQ standards was building on the blueprint that is in place for Future nurse. Nurses and midwives can become SCPHNs who are experts in public health nursing so the approach taken was different.</i>
09:55:42 AM BST	<p>SCPHN are in a better place than SPQ because they have been able to explore independently what is core and specialist for their profession. Why did the NMC not do this for SPQ from the beginning?</p> <p><i>Response: SPQ stakeholders and audiences have had an equal opportunity to consider core and bespoke requirements. The drafting of the new SPQ standards was building on the structure that is in place for Future nurse, because the SPQ qualifications apply to nurses and the post-registration SPQs need to demonstrate progression from these. Both nurses and midwives can become SCPHNs, and so the starting point was different in terms of the structure of the standards. We have also said that the SPQ standards will form a bridge to potential regulation of advanced practice in the future. For that reason it is helpful to try and align the requirements has we have done in the pre-registration standards that enables people to enter a specific field of nursing practice.</i></p>
09:59:51 AM BST	<p>NMC could have mirrored this approach with SPQ - why didn't you do this? Even the terminology and structure of what you are proposing is different for SCPHN and SPQ - proficiencies, platforms etc Very confusing for post reg practitioners</p> <p><i>Response: SPQ stakeholders and audiences have had an equal opportunity to consider core and bespoke requirements. The drafting of the new SPQ standards was building on the structure that is in place for Future nurse, because the SPQ qualifications apply to nurses and the post-registration SPQs need to demonstrate progression from these. Both nurses and midwives can become SCPHNs, and so the starting point was different in terms of the structure of the standards.</i></p>
10:15:48 AM BST	<p>not sure about apprenticeships requirements</p> <p><i>Response: The current SCPHN apprenticeship standards are aligned to the 2004 SCPHN standards. When new standards are agreed by Council and published, the Trailblazer group would need to develop a new apprenticeship standards against the new SCPHN standard. This is always the case when we update our standards.</i></p>
10:15:48 AM BST	<p>I would lie to read through all the draft standards before making any decisions or comments</p> <p><i>Response: Completely agree with this approach, thank you.</i></p>
10:16:14 AM BST	<p>Vary well explained and understandable. going to listen to it again in my own time</p> <p><i>Response: Thank you.</i></p>

10:16:14 AM BST	<p>There is not enough transporecny, we need to see what others think.</p> <p><i>Response: This platform does not permit viewing all chat in the chatbox in 'real time' but we have committed to publishing all of the questions asked and comments submitted on the website following each webinar.</i></p>
10:17:09 AM BST	<p>there is not enough information about you will make judgements on what is decided upon</p> <p><i>Response: We will receive a report on the independent consultation findings in the autumn. These findings and all other evidence will inform the refinements that will be decided on. This post-consultation assimilation phase will use co-production approaches to support the decision making process.</i></p>
10:17:17 AM BST	<p>how you*</p> <p><i>Response: See above comment.</i></p>
10:20:33 AM BST	<p>why not chose a different platofrm??</p> <p><i>Response: There are alternative events that will provide more real-time interactions. This platform (GoToWebinar) is not a platform for consultation, but for holding webinars and events to brief people prior to consultation. We are sharing and responding to the comments as you can see. Please be assured that all the comments will be considered.</i></p> <p><i>Our technology team is reviewing the requirements across the NMC. This platform (GoToWebinar) is not a platform for consultation, but for holding webinars and events to help people hear from the NMC.</i></p>
10:21:48 AM BST	<p>So why don't you use a platform that does allow real engagement and the chance to see what everyone is saying in the chat box?</p> <p><i>Response There are alternative events that will provide more real-time interactions. This platform (GoToWebinar) is not a platform for consultation, but for holding webinars and events to brief people prior to consultation. We are sharing and responding to the comments as you can see. Please be assured that all the comments will be considered.</i></p> <p><i>Our technology team is reviewing the requirements across the NMC. This platform (GoToWebinar) is not a platform for consultation, but for holding webinars and events to help people hear from the NMC.</i></p>
10:22:34 AM BST	<p>If at Masters level, do we need to reflect on unified pay rates, to attract a nurse into this role and responsibilities? Could the NMC influence this?</p> <p><i>Response Pay is not something that the NMC has any jurisdiction over.</i></p>
10:24:08 AM BST	<p>The reduction in numbers may be due to the the age profile of HV's and the retirement benifits to this age group (55years retirement age) which ends next April, so we may then get stability. We need to look at the standards attracting proffessionals for a long career!</p> <p><i>Response: We agree this is an opportunity for new standards to attract professionals into health visiting at an early stage in their</i></p>

	<i>career.</i>
10:24:55 AM BST	Glad we have had the opportunity to be involved, and webinars have been very accessible. thank you <i>Response: Thank you</i>
10:25:36 AM BST	very rigorous approach has been taken and consultation seems straight forward, thank you <i>Response: Thank you</i>
10:26:50 AM BST	Ann spoke about a report being published with the figures she mentioned earlier, when will that be published? <i>Response: The new register report will be published in May. This will report on register data and trends for register data up to 31 March 2021.</i>
10:26:59 AM BST	Thank you for the helpful session. Apologies I have to leave now <i>Response: thank you for taking the time to attend.</i>
10:29:35 AM BST	Annes response to apprenticeships was really helpful. thank you <i>Response: Thank you</i>
10:30:32 AM BST	I believe prescribing should be a separate standard, optional for local areas such as Ann explained in the English Apprentice standard <i>Response: We are asking questions about prescribing practice in the consultation – please do respond.</i>
10:31:23 AM BST	Could there be a flexible approach - optional. Considering community pharmacists etc. are offering a really good service. <i>Response: We are asking questions about prescribing practice in the consultation – please do respond.</i>
10:31:43 AM BST	I'm a second year HV trainee for NHS Grampian/Robert Gordon University and V100 prescribing is included as a requirement of our successful completion of our course. <i>Response: thank you – we know some SCPHN HV programmes include prescribing and some do not. This is why we are asking questions as part of the consultation. Good luck with your studies.</i>
10:32:54 AM BST	If prescribing is such a big question, with the potential to not continue, can the NMC consider how they audit this? I feel that this should not be dependent on HV choosing to respond to the consultation. <i>Response: We will consider all the evidence to support the final decision making in this and all aspects of the standards. Many programmes already include prescribing and even if we did not make that a mandatory requirement, there is nothing to stop them from continuing to do that. What we are asking in the consultation is whether some level of prescribing should be a mandatory requirement for anyone undertaking the qualification. During the consultation there were different views where some people believe it should, others don't.</i>
10:33:00 AM BST	In Scotland we have Masters programmes only. <i>Response Thank you.</i>
10:33:52 AM BST	Excellent session . thank you all <i>Response: Thank you.</i>