

**Nursing and Midwifery Council
Fitness to Practise Committee**

**Substantive Order Review Hearing
Friday, 23 January 2026**

Virtual Hearing

Name of Registrant: Julie Elizabeth Burton

NMC PIN: 09F1115E

Part(s) of the register: Registered Nurse – Sub part 1
RN1: Adult Nurse (Level 1) - 1 May 2010

Relevant Location: Shropshire

Type of case: Misconduct/Lack of Competence

Panel members: Nilla Varsani (Chair, Lay member)
Sharon Haggerty (Registrant member)
Mitchell Parker (Lay member)

Legal Assessor: Attracta Wilson

Hearings Coordinator: Teige Gardner

Nursing and Midwifery Council: Represented by Zainab Mohamed, Case Presenter

Miss Burton: Present but unrepresented

Order being reviewed: 59570/2017 - Conditions of practice order
(3 years)

69963/2018 - Conditions of practice order
(2 years, 11 months)

Fitness to practise: Impaired

Outcome: **Orders to lapse upon expiry in accordance with
Article 30 (1) of the Nursing and Midwifery Order 2001
namely 9 February 2026**

Decision and reasons on application for hearing to be held in private

At the outset of the hearing, Ms Mohamed made a request that this case be held in private on the basis that proper exploration of your case involves references to your private personal life. The application was made pursuant to Rule 19 of the 'Nursing and Midwifery Council (Fitness to Practise) Rules 2004' (the Rules).

You indicated that you support Ms Mohamed's application.

The legal assessor reminded the panel that while Rule 19(1) provides, as a starting point, that hearings shall be conducted in public, Rule 19(3) states that the panel may hold hearings partly or wholly in private if it is satisfied that this is justified by the interests of any party or by the public interest.

The panel determined to go into private session only when issues are raised in connection with your personal private life.

Decision and reasons on review of the substantive order

The panel decided to allow your orders to lapse upon expiry on 9 February 2026.

This order will come into effect at the end of 9 February 2026 in accordance with Article 30(1) of the 'Nursing and Midwifery Order 2001' (the Order).

This is an early review of the substantive order which was initially listed and adjourned on 6 January 2026. This review is being held because the previous hearing was adjourned to allow you to attend at a later date and make representations to the panel.

Procedural summary for Case 59570/2017

With regard to your case bearing reference number 59570/2017 relating to lack of competence, this is the seventh review of the substantive conditions of practice order originally imposed by a Fitness to Practise Committee ('FtPC') panel on 9 January 2018 for six months. The order was reviewed on 13 July 2018 and was extended for a period of

12 months. The order was reviewed again on 24 June 2019 and replaced with a suspension order for six months. On 30 August 2019 the order was reviewed, and the panel decided to replace the suspension order with a conditions of practice order for the remaining period of the suspension order. On 15 January 2020, the conditions of practice order was confirmed for a further period of 12 months. On 21 December 2021, the conditions of practice order was varied and extended for 12 months. On 20 January 2022, the conditions of practice order was extended for a period of 12 months. On 6 January 2026, the hearing was adjourned.

The current orders are due to expire at the end of 9 February 2026.

The panel is reviewing the order pursuant to Article 30(1) of the Order.

Case reference: 59570/2017

The charges found proved by way of admission, in relation to case 59570/2017, which resulted in the imposition of the substantive order were as follows:

'That you, whilst working as a registered nurse at the Royal Wolverhampton Hospital, failed to demonstrate the standards of knowledge, skills and judgement required to practise without supervision as a Band 5 staff nurse in that:

1) Whilst working a shift on the Resuscitation Ward on the night shift 14-15 August 2016

a) When talking to the family of Patient A you were unsure of what information you should disclose;

b) Were not able to make an independent decision about prioritisation of treatment of patients;

c) Failed to record observations of Patient B between 2000 and 2230 in a timely manner;

d) On several occasions required guidance relating to the administration of medications including

i) How to dilute IM Buscopan;

- ii) How to administer IM Buscopan;*
- iii) How to draw up/dilute omeprazole*

e) Were observed to make the following errors when preparing/administering medication

- i) Incorrectly prepared a saline flush;*
- ii) Incorrectly used the Baxter pump;*
- iii) Intended to administer IV fluids to Patient C without ascertaining the prescribed duration;*
- iv) Gave multiple medications/infusions through the same cannula;*
- f) When treating Patient D, who was critically ill, you documented his basic observations incorrectly.*

2) On 03 December 2016 when treating Patient E you administered 2 doses of paracetamol when not supervised when

- a) You were not permitted to administer oral medication without supervision and*
- b) Patient E was not prescribed paracetamol.*

3) On 22 December 2016 or 23 December 2016 when treating Patient F you did not

- a) Carry out a pressure injury /skin assessment and/or*
- b) Request a skin assessment photograph from the medical illustration unit.*

4) On or around 08 January 2017 when caring for Patient G who had collapsed you did not

- a) Ensure that essential information relating to the condition of Patient G was communicated to other staff caring for him, in a timely manner;*
- b) Assist with the emergency care of Patient G when his condition deteriorated.*

AND in light of the above, your fitness to practise is impaired by reason of your lack of competence/ OR in light of the above, your fitness to practise is impaired by way of your misconduct.'

The seventh reviewing panel determined the following with regard to impairment:

'The panel considered whether your fitness to practise remains impaired.

The panel determined that your fitness to practise remains impaired. In reaching its decision, the panel took into account that there is little change in your circumstances since the last review hearing. It took into account that today you demonstrated some insight, but that this was limited as you are undergoing studies. It noted that you have not had the opportunity to fully reflect on the failures in your clinical practice and the concerns raised. It also took into account that you indicated that you intend to seek to remediate the failures in your practice after completing the course. It noted that you want to return to a nursing practice after you complete the course, and that you do not intend on working as a nurse in a hospital or care home again.

The panel did not have the benefit of formal details on the course you are undertaking and a written piece on how it is linked to you being able to continue practising as a nurse. However, the panel heard your responses and has no reason to disbelieve that you are currently on a course.

On the basis of the evidence before it, the panel determined that you have not yet strengthened your practice in relation to the charges found proved and there is a risk of repetition should you be allowed to practise without restriction. The panel therefore decided that a finding of continuing impairment is necessary on the grounds of public protection.'

The seventh reviewing panel determined the following with regard to sanction:

'The panel then considered the imposition of a caution order but again determined that, due to the seriousness of the case, and the public protection issues identified, an order that does not restrict your practice would not be appropriate in the circumstances. The SG states that a caution order may be appropriate where 'the case is at the lower end of the spectrum of impaired fitness to practise and the panel wishes to mark that the behaviour was unacceptable and must not happen

again.’ The panel considered that your misconduct was not at the lower end of the spectrum and that a caution order would be inappropriate in view of the issues identified. The panel decided that it would be neither proportionate nor in the public interest to impose a caution order.

The panel next considered whether imposing a further conditions of practice order on your registration would still be a sufficient and appropriate response. The panel is mindful that any conditions imposed must be proportionate, measurable and workable.

The panel determined that it would be possible to formulate appropriate and practical conditions which would address the failings highlighted in this case. The panel accepted that you have been unable to comply with conditions of practice as you have not been engaged in a nursing role since 2018 and are presently on a full-time course until July 2024. It noted that you have continued to engage with the NMC and attending the review hearings and that you are willing to comply with the conditions imposed.

The panel was of the view that a further conditions of practice order is sufficient to and continues to protect patients and serve the wider public interest. In this case, there are conditions could be formulated which would protect patients during the period they are in force.

The panel was of the view that to impose a suspension order or a striking-off order would be wholly disproportionate, not be a reasonable response and serve no purpose in the circumstances of your case you are currently on a course until July 2024, and you are not intending to work as a nurse until you complete the course.

Accordingly, the panel determined, pursuant to Article 30(1)(c) to extend the conditions of practice order in case 059570/2017 for a period of three years, which will come into effect on the expiry of the current order, namely at the end of 9 February 2023.

Accordingly, the panel determined, pursuant to Article 30(1)(c) to extend the conditions of practice order in case 059570/2017 for a period of two years and 11 months, which will come into effect on the expiry of the current order, namely at the end of 7 March 2023.

The panel decided to impose the existing conditions, it was of the view that they continue to be appropriate and proportionate in this case:

'For the purposes of these conditions, 'employment' and 'work' mean any paid or unpaid post in a nursing, midwifery or nursing associate role. Also, 'course of study' and 'course' mean any course of educational study connected to nursing, midwifery or nursing associates.'

- 1. You must confine your nursing practice to non-acute areas.*
- 2. You must work with your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or nominated deputy) to create a personal development plan designed to address the concerns about the following areas of your practice:*
 - Recognising and responding to emergency situations;*
 - Medication administration and management;*
 - Patient assessment and evaluating patient care;*
 - Recognising the deteriorating patient and escalating their care (including communication with relatives).*
- 3. You must ensure that you are supervised at any time that you are employed or otherwise providing nursing services. Your supervision must consist of working at all times under the direct supervision of a registered nurse until you are signed off as competent in your role by your line manager.*
- 4. You must meet with your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or nominated deputy) at least weekly for the first 4 weeks and monthly thereafter, unless your manager thinks more frequently is required, to discuss the standard of your performance and your progress towards achieving the aims set out in your personal development plan.*

5. *You must send a report from your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or their nominated deputy) setting out the standard of your performance and your progress towards achieving the aims set out in your personal development plan to the NMC at least 14 days before any NMC review hearing or meeting.*
6. *You must send a report from your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or their nominated deputy) setting out the standard of your performance and your progress towards achieving the aims set out in your personal development plan to the NMC at least 14 days before any NMC review hearing or meeting.*
7. *You must tell the NMC within 14 days of any nursing appointment (whether paid or unpaid) you accept within the UK or elsewhere, and provide the NMC with contact details of your employer.*
8. *You must tell the NMC about any professional investigation started against you and/or any professional disciplinary proceedings taken against you within 14 days of you receiving notice of them.*
9. a) *You must tell the NMC about any professional investigation started against you and/or any professional disciplinary proceedings taken against you within 14 days of you receiving notice of them.*

b) *You must within 14 days of entering into any arrangements required by these conditions of practice [sic] provide the NMC with the name and contact details of the individual/organisation with whom you have entered into the arrangement.*
10. *You must immediately tell the following parties that you are subject to a conditions of practice order under the NMC's fitness to practise procedures and disclose the conditions listed at 1 to 9 above, to them:*

- *Any organisation or person employing, contracting with or using you to undertake nursing work.*
- *Any agency you are registered with or apply to be registered with (at the time of application) to provide nursing services.*
- *Any prospective employer (at the time of application) where you are applying for any nursing appointment.*
- *Any educational establishment at which you are undertaking a course of study connected with nursing or midwifery, or any such establishment to which you apply to take a course (at the time of application).'*

Case reference 69963/2018

The charges found proved, in relation to case 69963/2018, which resulted in the imposition of the substantive order were as follows:

“That you, a registered nurse at Barchester Healthcare:

1. *On the shift of 26 May 2018 regarding Patient A:*
 - a. *Did not carry out frequent observations (admitted);*
 - b. *Attempted to take a blood pressure reading from the side on which Patient A had a fractured shoulder/arm;*
 - c. *Did not escalate for assistance from paramedics following the observations taken at 15:40 in relation to:*
 - i. *Temperature;*
 - ii. *Blood pressure;*
 - iii. *Heart rate;*
 - iv. *Respiration;*
 - d. *Did not escalate for assistance from the hospital following low fluid intake;*

2. *In relation to the substantive conditions of practice order made by a panel of the NMC Fitness to Practise Committee on 8-9 January 2018, failed to comply with clause 10 in that you did not notify the NMC of disciplinary proceedings at Barchester Healthcare within 14 days of receiving notice [of] them;*

AND in light of the above, your fitness to practise is impaired by reason of your lack of competence/ OR in light of the above, your fitness to practise is impaired by way of your misconduct.”

The second reviewing panel determined the following with regard to impairment:

‘The panel considered whether your fitness to practise remains impaired.

The panel determined that your fitness to practise remains impaired. In reaching its decision, the panel took into account that there is little change in your circumstances since the last review hearing. It took into account that today you demonstrated some insight, but that this was limited as you are undergoing studies. It noted that you have not had the opportunity to fully reflect on the failures in your clinical practice and the concerns raised. It also took into account that you indicated that you intend to seek to remediate the failures in your practice after completing the course. It noted that you want to return to a nursing practice after you complete the course, and that you do not intend on working as a nurse in a hospital or care home again.

The panel did not have the benefit of formal details on the course you are undertaking and a written piece on how it is linked to you being able to continue practising as a nurse. However, the panel heard your responses and has no reason to disbelieve that you are currently on a course.

On the basis of the evidence before it, the panel determined that you have not yet strengthened your practice in relation to the charges found proved and there is a risk of repetition should you be allowed to practise without restriction. The panel therefore decided that a finding of continuing impairment is necessary on the grounds of public protection.’

The second reviewing panel determined the following with regard to sanction:

‘The panel then considered the imposition of a caution order but again determined that, due to the seriousness of the case, and the public protection issues identified,

an order that does not restrict your practice would not be appropriate in the circumstances. The SG states that a caution order may be appropriate where ‘the case is at the lower end of the spectrum of impaired fitness to practise and the panel wishes to mark that the behaviour was unacceptable and must not happen again.’ The panel considered that your misconduct was not at the lower end of the spectrum and that a caution order would be inappropriate in view of the issues identified. The panel decided that it would be neither proportionate nor in the public interest to impose a caution order.

The panel next considered whether imposing a further conditions of practice order on your registration would still be a sufficient and appropriate response. The panel is mindful that any conditions imposed must be proportionate, measurable and workable.

The panel determined that it would be possible to formulate appropriate and practical conditions which would address the failings highlighted in this case. The panel accepted that you have been unable to comply with conditions of practice as you have not been engaged in a nursing role since 2018 and are presently on a full-time course until July 2024. It noted that you have continued to engage with the NMC and attending the review hearings and that you are willing to comply with the conditions imposed.

The panel was of the view that a further conditions of practice order is sufficient to and continues to protect patients and serve the wider public interest. In this case, there are conditions could be formulated which would protect patients during the period they are in force.

The panel was of the view that to impose a suspension order or a striking-off order would be wholly disproportionate, not be a reasonable response and serve no purpose in the circumstances of your case you are currently on a course until July 2024, and you are not intending to work as a nurse until you complete the course.

Accordingly, the panel determined, pursuant to Article 30(1)(c) to extend the conditions of practice order in case 059570/2017 for a period of three years, which

will come into effect on the expiry of the current order, namely at the end of 9 February 2023.

Accordingly, the panel determined, pursuant to Article 30(1)(c) to extend the conditions of practice order in case 059570/2017 for a period of two years and 11 months, which will come into effect on the expiry of the current order, namely at the end of 7 March 2023.

The panel decided to impose the existing conditions, it was of the view that they continue to be appropriate and proportionate in this case:

'For the purposes of these conditions, 'employment' and 'work' mean any paid or unpaid post in a nursing, midwifery or nursing associate role. Also, 'course of study' and 'course' mean any course of educational study connected to nursing, midwifery or nursing associates.'

- 1. You must confine your nursing practice to non-acute areas.*
- 2. You must work with your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or nominated deputy) to create a personal development plan designed to address the concerns about the following areas of your practice:*
 - Recognising and responding to emergency situations;*
 - Medication administration and management;*
 - Patient assessment and evaluating patient care;*
 - Recognising the deteriorating patient and escalating their care (including communication with relatives).*
- 3. You must ensure that you are supervised at any time that you are employed or otherwise providing nursing services. Your supervision must consist of working at all times under the direct supervision of a registered nurse until you are signed off as competent in your role by your line manager.*
- 4. You must meet with your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or nominated deputy) at least weekly for the first 4 weeks and monthly thereafter, unless your*

manager thinks more frequently is required, to discuss the standard of your performance and your progress towards achieving the aims set out in your personal development plan.

5. *You must send a report from your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or their nominated deputy) setting out the standard of your performance and your progress towards achieving the aims set out in your personal development plan to the NMC at least 14 days before any NMC review hearing or meeting.*
6. *You must send a report from your line manager, mentor or supervisor (or their nominated deputy) setting out the standard of your performance and your progress towards achieving the aims set out in your personal development plan to the NMC at least 14 days before any NMC review hearing or meeting.*
7. *You must tell the NMC within 14 days of any nursing appointment (whether paid or unpaid) you accept within the UK or elsewhere, and provide the NMC with contact details of your employer.*
8. *You must tell the NMC about any professional investigation started against you and/or any professional disciplinary proceedings taken against you within 14 days of you receiving notice of them.*
9. *a) You must tell the NMC about any professional investigation started against you and/or any professional disciplinary proceedings taken against you within 14 days of you receiving notice of them.*

b) You must within 14 days of entering into any arrangements required by these conditions of practice [sic] provide the NMC with the name and contact details of the individual/organisation with whom you have entered into the arrangement.
10. *You must immediately tell the following parties that you are subject to a conditions of practice order under the NMC's fitness to practise procedures and disclose the conditions listed at 1 to 9 above, to them:*

- *Any organisation or person employing, contracting with or using you to undertake nursing work.*
- *Any agency you are registered with or apply to be registered with (at the time of application) to provide nursing services.*
- *Any prospective employer (at the time of application) where you are applying for any nursing appointment.*
- *Any educational establishment at which you are undertaking a course of study connected with nursing or midwifery, or any such establishment to which you apply to take a course (at the time of application).'*

Decision and reasons on current impairment

The panel has considered carefully whether your fitness to practise remains impaired. Whilst there is no statutory definition of fitness to practise, the NMC has defined fitness to practise as a registrant's suitability to remain on the register without restriction. In considering this case, the panel has carried out a comprehensive review of the order in light of the current circumstances. Whilst it has noted the decision of the last panel, this panel has exercised its own judgement as to current impairment.

The panel has had regard to all of the documentation before it, including the NMC bundle, your bundle and your oral evidence. It has taken account of the submissions made by Ms Mohamed on behalf of the NMC. She provided a background to the case and submitted that your fitness to practice remains impaired. She submitted that there has been no update in regard to your practice as a registered nurse since the previous case as you have been unable to secure employment. Therefore, Ms Mohamed submitted that, as the charges found proved are serious, there remains a risk of repetition in this case. She submitted that a finding of impairment is necessary on the grounds of public protection. She also submitted that a finding of impairment is otherwise in the public interest as a well-informed member of the public would be concerned to find that you are allowed to practice without restriction considering the seriousness of the charges found proved.

Ms Mohamed submitted that it is for the panel to decide on the sanction.

The panel also had regard to the documentation you have provided and your oral evidence. You told the panel that you need more time to properly apply for work in a registered nursing position. You told the panel that you received your driving license back in November 2025, which should enable you to work as a registered nurse with more ease. You told the panel that you have had difficulties getting onto a return to practice course, and your application to be admitted to Bangor University was rejected due to the restrictive nature of the conditions imposed upon your practice. However, now you can drive again, you are in a position to search for other courses further afield. You have registered with TRAC which can assist you with getting a nursing job. You have a work coach as well who can assist you in gaining employment.

You told the panel that you have not worked as a registered nurse since 2018. You said you have developed your communication skills and have received qualifications in counselling since then. You said that this has improved your understanding of communication skills both personally and professionally. You informed the panel that you are interested in working in a nursing role that involves helping people with substance misuse. You said you would like to work as a registered nurse.

The panel heard and accepted the advice of the legal assessor.

In reaching its decision, the panel was mindful of the need to protect the public, maintain public confidence in the profession and to declare and uphold proper standards of conduct and performance.

The panel considered whether your fitness to practise remains impaired.

The panel reviewed both orders imposed on your practice, and this decision applies to both.

The panel took into consideration all the information before it and was of the view that there has been no material change since the previous hearing. It noted that you have not been able to secure work as a registered nurse and have therefore been unable to demonstrate that you have been compliant with the current conditions of practice order on your practice. The panel noted the difficulties you have encountered in securing a place on

a return to practice course and noted correspondence from Bangor University, as referred to above. The panel noted that you have done a counselling course, however this is not relevant to the charges found proved. There was no other evidence before the panel of efforts made by you to strengthen your practice by either undertaking relevant training or keeping up to date with developments in current nursing practices. You have not undertaken relevant work in a non-nursing role. The panel took into account that you have been occupied in your studies, but nonetheless, it was of the view that you have not sufficiently strengthened your practice in the areas of concern identified within a reasonable period of time.

The panel took into consideration the findings of the previous panel regarding your insight and the reflective piece you have provided to this panel today. The panel carefully considered your reflective piece and was of the view that it does not demonstrate a material change in your level of insight.

In these circumstances, the panel determined that there remains a risk of repetition in this case and a finding of impairment remains necessary on the grounds of public protection.

The panel has borne in mind that its primary function is to protect patients and the wider public interest which includes maintaining confidence in the nursing profession and upholding proper standards of conduct and performance. The panel determined that, in this case, a finding of continuing impairment on public interest grounds is also required, as a well-informed member of the public would be concerned to find that you are allowed to practice without a finding of impairment.

For these reasons, the panel finds that your fitness to practise remains impaired.

Decision and reasons on sanction

Having found your fitness to practise currently impaired, the panel then considered what, if any, sanction it should impose in this case. The panel noted that its powers are set out in Article 30 of the Order. The panel has also taken into account the 'NMC's Sanctions

Guidance' (SG) and has borne in mind that the purpose of a sanction is not to be punitive, though any sanction imposed may have a punitive effect.

The panel first considered whether to take no action but concluded that this would be inappropriate in view of the seriousness of the case. The panel decided that it would be neither proportionate nor in the public interest to take no further action.

It then considered the imposition of a caution order but again determined that, due to the seriousness of the case, and the public protection issues identified, an order that does not restrict your practice would not be appropriate in the circumstances. The SG states that a caution order may be appropriate where *'the case is at the lower end of the spectrum of impaired fitness to practise and the panel wishes to mark that the behaviour was unacceptable and must not happen again.'* The panel considered that your misconduct was not at the lower end of the spectrum and that a caution order would be inappropriate in view of the issues identified. The panel decided that it would be neither proportionate nor in the public interest to impose a caution order.

The panel next considered whether an extension to the current conditions of practice on your registration would be a sufficient and appropriate response. The panel is mindful that any conditions imposed must be proportionate, measurable and workable. The panel bore in mind the seriousness of the facts found proved at the original hearing and that you have been unable to secure a place on a return to practice, due to, at least in part, the restrictions on your registration.

The panel noted that you have made just one application to enrol on a return to practice course. It noted your stated intention to explore other courses now that your driving licence has been restored and you are more flexible. The panel accept the difficulties you face and the restrictive nature of the conditions of practice order. However, having carefully considered the concerns outlined in the substantive orders in this case, the panel was not able to formulate any less restrictive, workable conditions that would adequately address the concerns relating to your misconduct and lack of competence that would allow you to find work as a registered nurse and adequately protect the public and uphold the public interest in this case. The panel therefore determined that extending the conditions of practice order would serve no useful purpose and would not be in the public interest or in

your own interest. You have now not practiced as a registered nurse since 2018 and the panel considered that there is no reasonable prospect of you returning to nursing practice in the near future.

The panel next considered imposing suspension order. The panel was of the view that a suspension order would have the effect of prolonging these already lengthy proceedings and would serve no useful purpose.

The panel then considered a striking-off order. The panel was of the view that a striking off order would be disproportionate and inappropriate considering the circumstances of this case. The panel considered the case of *Annon v NMC 2017 [EWHC] 1879 (Admin)* in this regard.

The panel was mindful of the NMC guidance on allowing an order to lapse with a finding of impairment (REV-2h). It determined that the most appropriate and proportionate action to take in this case would be to allow your order to lapse with a finding of impairment. In coming to this decision, the panel noted that you have not worked as a registered nurse since 2018 and would therefore be required to do a return to practice course before returning to work as a registered nurse. The panel took into consideration that you told it that you wanted “*more time*” to be accepted onto a return to practice course.

The panel was of the view that allowing your order to lapse would provide you with the time you need to reflect on your career intentions and any pathways back to nursing practice in your own time, away from the time constraints and burdens of regulatory proceedings. Further the panel noted that you can apply for readmission to the NMC register at any time, once you are able to demonstrate that you are no longer impaired. In addition, the panel noted that you are interested in working in the substance abuse field. It noted that there are unregistered roles that you could do in this field and others to assist you in strengthening your practice.

The panel was of the view that the public and the wider public interest would be protected by allowing these substantive orders to lapse with a finding of impairment. The finding of impairment will remain on your record should you seek to be readmitted to the register.

Your conditions of practice orders will lapse with a finding of impairment upon the expiry of the current orders, namely the end of 9 February 2026 in accordance with Article 30(1). That means from 9 February 2026 your name will no longer be on the NMC register and you will need to meet the NMC's readmission requirements should you choose to return practice as a registered nurse.

This decision will be confirmed to you in writing.

That concludes this determination.